Embodied Souls

Exploring Human Personhood in the Age of AI

Dan Lioy

1.0 Introduction: A Biblical Perspective on Body and Soul

What does it mean to be human in an era increasingly defined by artificial intelligence (AI)? This question calls believers back to the clear teaching of Scripture regarding human nature—that people are uniquely created in God's image (Gen 1:26–27), comprised of body and soul in profound ontological unity. While AI technology raises new questions about intelligence and capability, God's Word provides unchanging truth about human personhood, fundamentally distinguishing people from any humanly-created technology.

This essay examines the biblical teaching about human nature, particularly as understood through Lutheran theology's faithful adherence to the inspiration and authority of Scripture. Rather than adopting Greek philosophical frameworks of mind/body dualism, Lutheran theology recognizes that Scripture presents humans as whole persons—body and soul together—created by God, corrupted by sin, and redeemed in Christ. As discussed at length below, the Hebrew terms *nephesh* (soul/living being) and *ruach* (spirit/breath) describe not separate parts but unified aspects of the whole person under God.

Three key questions guide this exploration: (1) What does the Judeo-Christian canon, along with the writings of Second Temple Judaism, reveal about the essence of human personhood? (2) What do these ancient religious texts teach about the relationship between body and soul? (3) How should believers understand these truths in contrast to various philosophical traditions and the increasing prevalence of AI within the societal context of the global North?

Central to this discussion is the Lutheran commitment to the primacy of Scripture—both Old and New Testaments—as the source and norm of doctrine (*sola Scriptura*; 2 Tim 3:16–17; 2 Pet 1:20–21). This means examining key biblical concepts on their own terms: the human as God's image-bearer, the reality of both

body and soul, and the promise of bodily resurrection. While Greek philosophy historically influenced Christian thought, Lutheran theology looks first and finally to Scripture's clear witness about human nature. Similarly, in addressing modern questions about AI and human personhood, this essay grounds its response in the authoritative Word of God rather than human philosophical frameworks.

So then, considering the preceding observations, the focused line of inquiry becomes: *How does Scripture's teaching about humans as embodied creatures, made in God's image and redeemed by Christ, inform our understanding of human personhood amid technological change?* Through careful attention to biblical teaching, it is possible to address contemporary challenges while holding fast to Scripture's divinely inspired truth.

Throughout the essay, the intentional repetition of key insights serves several purposes: maintaining a clear and coherent argument, emphasizing core ideas, and smoothly transitioning between earlier points and new developments in thought. Doing so also aids diverse readers in grasping and retaining fundamental concepts, contextualizes complex arguments within the essay's overall narrative framework, and encourages revisiting main themes as new information emerges, ultimately deepening analytical understanding and intellectual engagement.

2.0 The Hebrew Understanding of Humanity in the Old Testament: A Holistic Perspective

2.1 Creation of Humanity

The Old Testament provides foundational insights into human nature, emphasizing the inseparable unity of body and soul. Genesis 1:26–27 proclaims that humanity was created in the "image of God" (*imago Dei*). Scripture reveals that this image consisted primarily in original righteousness, true knowledge of God, and perfect holiness—qualities that distinguished humans as the Lord's unique creation. Though this image was marred through the Fall due to original sin, human beings retain dignity as God's creatures, not because of any inherent worth, but because of God's continued sustaining and redeeming work. Through union with Christ, the image of God is progressively restored in believers, though this restoration remains incomplete in this life, reaching perfection only in the resurrection. God called humanity to exercise responsible stewardship over creation as his ruling representatives, a vocation that, while impaired by sin, continues to reflect his creative intent.

Genesis 2:7 offers further detail, recounting how the Lord formed "man from the dust of the ground" (*ʿāphār*, עָפָר).[1] This statement highlights the physicality and creatureliness of humankind's origin. God then "breathed into his nostrils the

breath of life" (*neshamah*, נְשָׁמָה). This divine act animated Adam but did not impart a divine spark or portion of the Creator's essence. Rather, it established humans as living beings wholly dependent on the Lord's sustaining power. Through this sovereign act, man became a "living being" (*nephesh*, נֶפֶשׁ), a term that encompasses the totality of the human person. Individuals do not merely have souls but are simultaneously body and soul, an integrated creation of matter (i.e., material) and spirit (i.e., immaterial).

The Fall into sin corrupted human nature in its entirety, affecting both body and soul, yet without destroying the fundamental unity of humanity's ontology. This unity continues until death, when body and soul are temporarily separated, awaiting reunification at the resurrection of the dead. Christ's own incarnation affirms the goodness of humankind's bodily nature, while his bodily resurrection prefigures the future resurrection of the righteous at the end of the age. This sure hope shapes Christian personhood and ethics, providing comfort in suffering and guiding the life of faith and sanctification. The Spirit works through Word and Sacrament, particularly Baptism and the Eucharist, to renew both the inner and outer nature of believers. Gradually, believers are conformed to Christ's image while they await the final redemption of their bodies.

2.2 Key Hebrew Terms and Their Meanings

Several Hebrew terms are crucial for understanding the integrated view of humanity in the Old Testament. These terms align with the biblical anthropology central to Lutheran theology, emphasizing the unity of body and soul, humanity's total dependence on God for existence, and Luther's understanding of humans as simultaneously physical and spiritual beings (*simul corporalis et spiritualis*).

Nephesh

Often translated as "soul," *nephesh* primarily denotes a whole living being. It encompasses physical life, emotional states, the individual person, or even an animal. For example, *nephesh* can express physical hunger (Prov 27:7) or the principle of life itself (Gen 35:18).

Importantly, *nephesh* should not be narrowly equated with the modern concept of the "soul" as a separate, immortal entity. Instead, *nephesh* reflects the entire living being, dependent on God for existence and sustained by his providence. The term underscores the Creator-creature relationship, as all *nephesh* derive life and purpose from the Lord (e.g., Ps 42:1–2). This holistic understanding shapes Lutheran eschatology (i.e., teaching on end-time events), particularly the doctrine of the resurrection, which anticipates not merely spiritual survival but also the restoration of the whole person—body and soul united—in union with Christ.

Ruach

Meaning "spirit," "wind," or "breath," *ruach* typically represents the divinely given life-force or human vitality. It connects both physical and spiritual aspects of existence, illustrating the unity of life as created and sustained by God. While *ruach* is distinct from *neshamah* (the breath given in creation), the two terms often overlap in meaning, both pointing to the Lord's bestowal of the breath of life (Gen 2:7).

The term *ruach* encompasses emotional states, intellectual capacity, and volition, further highlighting its broad semantic range. It often describes God's active and energizing presence, as seen in Ezekiel 37:14, where the Lord breathes life into dry bones, symbolizing spiritual renewal. This connection is particularly significant in Lutheran theology, which emphasizes the Holy Spirit's work through the means of grace—Word and Sacraments—to bring faith, life, and renewal. This understanding reinforces the Lutheran teaching that conversion and spiritual life are entirely God's work through his chosen means, not human effort or decision.

Lev

Literally meaning "heart," *lev* denotes both the physical organ and the center of human consciousness. Unlike modern distinctions between mind and heart, *lev* integrates cognitive, emotional, and volitional capacities. For example, Proverbs 4:23 presents the heart as the wellspring of life's activities: "Above all else, guard your heart carefully, because your life flows from it." This integration highlights *lev* as the inner person and the seat of thought, feeling, and will.

In Lutheran theology, *lev* plays a vital role in understanding both human nature and divine grace. The natural heart, enslaved to sin according to Luther's doctrine of the bondage of the will, cannot turn to God by its own power. Yet, through the Spirit's work, the heart becomes the place where faith is kindled and nourished. Psalm 51:10 captures this divine initiative: "Create in me a pure heart, O God. Renew an unwavering spirit within me." The heart, therefore, is not merely an emotional or intellectual center but also the locus of God's transformative work in sanctification—an ongoing process entirely dependent on divine grace.

This integrated anthropology profoundly shapes Lutheran theology, particularly in its understanding of the means of grace, the real presence of Christ in the Lord's Supper, and the hope of bodily resurrection. It reinforces that God deals with whole persons through physical means (water, bread, and wine) united with his Word, bringing both spiritual and physical blessing to his people.

2.3 A Holistic View of Humanity

The Old Testament consistently upholds a holistic understanding of humanity, rejecting mind-body dualism. In Deuteronomy 6:5, the *Shema* calls for love of God

with all our "heart" (*lev*), "soul" (*nephesh*), and "might" (*me'od*). These terms illuminate various aspects of human existence while emphasizing their fundamental unity. For instance, the term *me'od* (might) encompasses strength, resources, and intensity, stressing the comprehensive nature of devotion to God.

The interconnectedness of physical and spiritual reality permeates the Old Testament. Psalm 63:1 vividly depicts the yearning for God through both bodily expression ("My flesh longs for you") and spiritual imagery ("My soul thirsts for you"), illustrating how the whole person responds to and needs God. Similarly, Ecclesiastes 12:7 portrays death as the return of the "dust" (*ʿāphār*, material existence) to the "ground" and the "spirit" (*ruach*, immaterial existence) to God. This describes not a permanent separation but a temporary rupture of human wholeness—a tragic disruption caused by sin and death, which Scripture consistently describes as unnatural and contrary to the Creator's original design. The Christian hope, grounded in the Messiah's resurrection, specifically anticipates the restoration of bodily wholeness in the resurrection of the dead.

So then, the Old Testament presents humanity as an embodied whole—created in the image of God (*imago Dei*). This image is fundamentally relational, reflecting both our vertical relationship with the Lord and our horizontal relationships with fellow creatures. Humans are not assemblages of distinct physical, emotional, and spiritual "parts" but unified beings who can be described from various perspectives while maintaining their essential wholeness. This biblical anthropology stands in contrast to later dualistic philosophies, such as those of Greek origin, which artificially separate material and immaterial aspects of human nature (discussed at length in section 3.0).

For confessional Lutherans, this understanding remains foundational, affirming both the goodness of God's creation and the comprehensive effects of sin, which impacts the whole person. This framework proves especially valuable when engaging modern bioethical and technological discussions about human nature. Questions about embodiment, personhood, and relationality must be approached through the distinct lenses of Law and Gospel: the Law revealing how sin has corrupted every aspect of human nature, and the Gospel proclaiming Christ's redemption of the whole person. This anthropology reminds us that technological and medical interventions, while potentially beneficial, cannot address humanity's fundamental need for reconciliation with God through Christ, in whom our full humanity is restored.

This holistic understanding carries significant implications for pastoral care and ethics. It suggests that spiritual care must attend to both physical and spiritual needs, recognizing their interrelation. In sanctification, the whole person—body and soul—is being conformed to Christ's image, even as we await the final resurrection when our humanity will be fully restored.

3.0 Mind and Body in the Greco-Roman World

3.1 Philosophical Foundations

The Greco-Roman world, under God's providence, provided the historical context for philosophical traditions examining human nature, including the relationship between body and soul. While Platonism, Aristotelianism, and Stoicism developed sophisticated frameworks, these systems fundamentally reflected humanity's fallen reason, particularly in their attempts to grasp divine truth outside of biblical revelation.

While some Church Fathers like Augustine integrated elements of Greek philosophy to articulate Christian doctrine effectively, this engagement often led to a dangerous blending of pagan human wisdom with divine truth. The early church's interaction with Greek philosophy demonstrates how easily human reason can be elevated above Scripture's authority. As Luther and the Lutheran Confessions teach, natural reason, though a gift from God, is profoundly corrupted by sin (Eccles 9:3; Jer 17:9; Matt 13:15; Mark 7:21–22) and incapable of fully understanding and accepting the "truths taught by God's Spirit" (1 Cor 2:14).

The Fall affected all of creation, including human reason and the natural world. Philosophy must remain subordinate to God's Word, the sole source of true and saving knowledge about the Creator, his will, and humanity's salvation. While ancient philosophers raised important questions about human nature and reality, their answers remained trapped in spiritual blindness apart from the light of biblical revelation. That said, the proper role of philosophy in Lutheran theology is not entirely limited. While it should never shape or determine doctrine, which comes solely from Scripture (*sola Scriptura*), philosophy can be a valuable tool for engaging with the contemporary intellectual and cultural horizon, especially by articulating the Christian faith in a meaningful way.

3.1.1 Platonism: Metaphysical Dualism

Plato, particularly in works such as *Phaedo*, *Republic*, and *Timaeus*, enunciated a metaphysical dualism that fundamentally conflicts with Scripture's teaching about human nature. He posited that the soul (*psyche*) is an immortal, preexistent entity distinct from the material body (*soma*). This dualism contradicts the biblical account in several key ways.

First, Plato's doctrine of the soul's preexistence (*anamnēsis*) and inherent immortality denies the clear teaching of Scripture that God created humans—body and soul together—at a specific moment in space-time history (Gen 2:7). The soul is not naturally immortal, but receives life and immortality as the Lord's gift.

Second, Plato's *Theory of Forms* (*eidē*) suggests that the soul can attain divine knowledge through philosophical reasoning apart from God's Word. This contradicts Scripture's teaching that since the Fall, natural man is incapable of knowing either the Creator or spiritual truth except through the revealed Word (1 Cor 2:14; Rom 10:17).

Third, Plato's view of the body as a prison (*sōma sēma*; "the body is a tomb") directly opposes Scripture's teaching that along with the rest of creation, the Lord made the human body "very good" (Gen 1:31) and that Christ took on human flesh (John 1:14). This denigration of the physical world highlights the fundamental paganism of Platonic thought.

Fourth, Plato's hierarchical metaphysics, which elevates the immaterial over the material, contradicts God's design of humans as unified beings of both body and soul. Scripture teaches that we are not souls trapped in bodies, but embodied creatures made in God's image (Gen 1:26–27).

While Platonic thought significantly influenced Western philosophy and some Church Fathers, its anthropology is incompatible with Scripture and Lutheran theology. The Bible teaches that:

- Humans are created as a unity of body and soul (Gen 2:7).
- The body is not evil but good, though corrupted by sin.
- Christ redeemed both body and soul through his incarnation, death, and resurrection.
- The Christian hope is not the soul's escape from the body, but the resurrection of the body (1 Cor 15:42–44; Phil 3:21).
- In the resurrection, we will be fully human—body and soul together—glorified according to Christ's promise.

The Lutheran Confessions, particularly in the Formula of Concord's treatment of original sin (FC SD I), carefully maintain the biblical teaching that human nature consists of body and soul in unity, both created good by God, both corrupted by sin, and both redeemed by Christ. This stands in direct opposition to Platonic dualism's denigration of the body and its teaching of the soul's natural immortality.

For confessional Lutherans, while Plato's writings may have historical and philosophical significance, his metaphysical framework must be rejected where it contradicts Scripture's clear teaching about human nature, sin, and salvation. The biblical doctrine of creation, incarnation, and redemption presents a radically different understanding: humans as whole persons—body and soul together—created by God, fallen into sin, and redeemed wholly through Christ's efficacious work at Calvary.

3.1.2 Aristotelianism: Hylomorphic Unity

In contrast to Plato, Aristotle developed a hylomorphic theory in works such as *De Anima* and the *Metaphysics*, offering an integrated understanding of the relationship between body and soul. While his philosophical framework includes valuable observations about the natural world, it requires significant theological correction from a confessional Lutheran standpoint.

Aristotle's key concepts include the soul as entelechy (the actualization of bodily potential), a tripartite structure of soul functions (nutritive, sensitive, and rational), the unity of form and matter, and nous (active intellect) as humanity's highest natural faculty. However, confessional Lutheran theology, grounded in Scripture, substantially differs from and goes beyond this natural philosophical framework.

The Lutheran understanding, drawn from the Judeo-Christian canon, teaches that God created humans as a unity of body and soul (Gen 2:7), where the soul is not merely a form or function of the body, but a distinct spiritual entity created directly by God. This soul continues to exist after death until the resurrection of the body (Eccles 12:7; Matt 10:28). The Formula of Concord affirms that humans consist of body and soul in one person, yet these are distinguishable.

While Aristotle's observations about the integration of human physical and mental functions have some validity for understanding natural life, they cannot account for crucial theological realities:

- The image of God (*imago Dei*) in which humanity was originally created
- The origin of the soul through direct divine creation
- The reality of the soul as a distinct spiritual entity
- The total corruption of human nature through original sin
- The need for supernatural regeneration through the Holy Spirit
- The resurrection of the body and its reunion with the soul
- The eternal destiny of both body and soul

Furthermore, Lutheran theology rejects the notion that human reason (*nous*) can, by its natural powers, grasp transcendent spiritual truths. As Luther emphasized in his *Heidelberg Disputation*, human reason is dramatically limited in spiritual matters due to sin and requires illumination by God's Word and Spirit. Therefore, while Aristotle's categories may serve as helpful philosophical tools for discussing certain aspects of human nature, they must be fundamentally reshaped by and subordinated to the teaching of Scripture.

This theological perspective preserves both the unity of human nature and the reality of the soul's continued existence after death, while positioning the entire

discussion within the broader narrative of creation, fall, and redemption through Christ. Such an approach allows us to appreciate philosophical insights about natural human functions, while maintaining the supremacy of biblical teaching about humanity's spiritual nature, condition, and eternal destiny.

3.1.3 Stoicism: Rational Materialism

Stoic philosophy, originating with Zeno of Citium and evolving through Roman thinkers, developed a system of ethics and cosmology centered on reason and virtue. Key concepts include:

- *Logos*: A universal, rational principle governing all reality, evident in both cosmic order and human reason.
- *Hegemonikon*: The ruling faculty within humans, responsible for reason and judgment, grounded in pneuma (vital breath).
- *Apatheia*: Freedom from passive emotions, achieved through rational self-mastery and alignment with the cosmic order.

From a confessional Lutheran perspective, this framework presents significant challenges:

- *Misunderstanding of human nature*: Stoicism, while acknowledging a degree of determinism, ultimately overemphasizes human reason's capacity for self-governance and virtue. Lutheranism, however, teaches that after the Fall, human reason is fundamentally corrupted by sin (Rom 8:7; 1 Cor 2:14). The Formula of Concord emphasizes that in spiritual matters, natural human reason is "completely blind" and incapable of understanding or assenting to God's truth without the work of the Spirit.
- *False hope in self-effort*: The Stoic emphasis on achieving *apatheia* through reason contradicts the biblical understanding of humanity's total spiritual helplessness (Eph 2:1; Col 2:13). While acknowledging that natural reason may retain some capacity in worldly matters (as Luther recognized regarding civil righteousness), Lutheranism maintains that even the most impressive works of human reason remain tainted by original sin.
- *Misconstruing the nature of God*: Stoicism posits a universal, impersonal rationality as the governing principle of the universe. In contrast, Lutheranism affirms that the true *Logos* is the eternal Son of God, incarnate in Christ (John 1:1–14).

True human flourishing, according to Lutheran theology, is not achieved through Stoic self-mastery, but through the atoning work of Christ on the cross and is received only by faith. This faith is not a product of human reason or effort, but a gift

of God's grace (Eph 2:8–10). The Spirit, working through Word and Sacrament, creates and sustains this faith, enabling believers to begin the process of sanctification —conforming regenerate human personhood to the image of Christ (Rom 8:29; 1 Cor 15:49; 2 Cor 3:18; Phil 3:21; 1 John 3:2).

So then, while Stoicism offers valuable insights into human psychology and may contribute to ethical living in the world, it ultimately presents a false hope of salvation through human effort. Only the Gospel of Christ, received by faith alone, provides true wisdom, righteousness, and the power to overcome sin.

3.2 Early Christian Synthesis and Transformation

3.2.1 The Christian Faith and Greek Philosophy

Early Christian teachers encountered Greco-Roman philosophy while proclaiming the Gospel. This interaction discloses important theological distinctions:

- *Biblical authority*: The Scriptures alone (*sola Scriptura*) serve as the only rule and norm for Christian doctrine. While the early Church Fathers used philosophical terminology to communicate truth, Scripture's inspiration and authority supersedes human reason and philosophy.
- *Christ-centered*: The Gospel reveals that salvation comes through Christ alone (*solus Christus*), by grace alone (*sola gratia*), and through faith alone (*sola fide*). This stands in stark contrast to Greek philosophical systems seeking wisdom through human reason.
- *Law and Gospel*: Christian teaching distinguishes between God's Law, which shows our sin, and the Gospel, which proclaims Christ's atoning work. This fundamental distinction is absent from Greek philosophy.
- *Original Sin*: Scripture teaches that human nature is totally corrupted by sin (Formula of Concord, Article I). This corrupted nature cannot cooperate in conversion or contribute to salvation, contrary to Greek philosophical optimism about innate human potential.

Paul indeed used terms familiar to his Greek hearers, such as "flesh" (*sarx*) and "spirit" (*pneuma*; discussed at length in section 4.1). However, he filled these terms with distinctly biblical content. "Flesh" refers to our sinful nature inherited from Adam, while "spirit" primarily refers to the Holy Spirit's work through the means of grace (Word and Sacraments). Most significantly, justification—God declaring sinners righteous for Christ's sake through faith—stands as the chief article of Christian doctrine. This teaching of salvation by grace through faith alone fundamentally contradicts all human philosophical systems that seek righteousness through reason or works.

3.2.2 Hebrew-Greek Anthropological Integration

The relationship between Hebrew and Greek anthropological concepts requires careful theological discernment. While the Hebrew term, *nephesh*, importantly conveys the fundamental unity of the human person as body and soul together, Lutheran theology maintains that humans do consist of both body and soul as functionally distinct yet inseparable aspects of God's creation. This understanding stems directly from Scripture, where Christ speaks about body and soul as distinguishable (e.g., Matt 10:28, "Do not fear those who kill the body but cannot kill the soul. Rather, fear the one who is able to destroy both soul and body in hell").

The Greek philosophical categories must be evaluated strictly according to their conformity with Scripture. Lutheran theology rejects Platonic dualism which views the body as a prison of the soul or views matter as inherently evil. Instead, Scripture affirms that both body and soul are God's good creation, though both are thoroughly corrupted by sin after the Fall. This corruption affects the whole person—body and soul together.

Lutheran anthropology particularly emphasizes that redemption encompasses the whole person. Christ affirmed a complete human nature—body and soul—to redeem the whole human person. The bodily resurrection of the Messiah and the promised resurrection of the body demonstrate God's intent to restore and glorify both the physical and spiritual aspects of human nature.

In the present life, the means of grace (Word and Sacrament) engage both body and soul. In Baptism, water combines with the Word to work faith and regeneration. In the Lord's Supper, Christ's true body and blood are physically received for the forgiveness of sins, demonstrating the unity of physical and spiritual in God's work of salvation. This Lutheran understanding maintains the scriptural witness about human nature without falling into either Greek dualistic extremes or modern materialistic reductions. It recognizes both the unity and distinction of body and soul while keeping the focus on Christ's work of redemption for the whole person.

3.2.3 Incarnational Theology and Bodily Resurrection

The Christian understanding of human embodiment, grounded in Scripture, presents a distinct view that differs from Greek philosophical assumptions. From a Lutheran perspective, the following key points need to be emphasized.

The Incarnation demonstrates that God the Son took on human flesh in the person of Christ (John 1:14). While this affirms creation's goodness, it is important not to suggest that the Incarnation itself sanctified or redeemed human nature. Rather, Christ's active and passive obedience—his perfect life and sacrificial death—accomplished humankind's redemption (Rom 4:25).

Regarding the resurrection, Scripture teaches that all people, both believers and unbelievers, will be raised bodily on the last day (Dan 12:1–2; Matt 25:46; John 5:28–29). For believers, our resurrected bodies will be glorified and imperishable (1 Cor 15:42–44), but this is through Christ's merit alone, not through any inherent dignity of human nature.

Indeed, the Christian hope includes the restoration of all creation (Rom 8:20–23). Yet, Lutheran theology emphasizes that this comes purely through God's gracious action in Christ, not through any natural process or human effort. This contrasts with humanity's repeated failed attempts throughout history to fabricate innumerable utopian communities. Each unsuccessful effort to create heaven on earth (an overly realized eschatology)—including social, cultural, economic, and political advances fostered by science and technology (including AI)—is fueled by Satan-inspired optimism, greed, and hubris.

Concerning the Sacraments, Lutheran theology teaches that Baptism and the Lord's Supper are not merely signs and symbols but are actual means of grace through which God delivers his promises. In Baptism, God produces faith and forgives sins (Mark 1:4; Acts 2:38). In the Lord's Supper, Christ gives his true body and blood for the pardon of iniquities (Matt 26:26–28). These Sacraments are efficacious, not because they bridge a material-spiritual divide, but because they are connected to God's Word and promise.

While Christianity does present a different view than Greek philosophy, the key distinction is not primarily about anthropology or the body-soul relationship. Rather, the fundamental difference is in how salvation is understood: not as human ascent to the divine through philosophical enlightenment, but as God's gracious descent to save sinful humanity through the person and work of Christ (Rom 5:8, 10; 1 John 4:10).

3.2.4 Patristic Synthesis

The Patristic period marked an important yet complex era in Christian theological development. While the Church Fathers made valuable contributions to defending biblical truth, particularly against heresies, their work requires careful evaluation in light of Scripture.

For example, though zealous in defending the faith, Origen deviated significantly from biblical teaching through his speculative theories about preexistent souls and universal salvation. These ideas conflict with clear scriptural teaching about original sin (Rom 5:12, 15–17; 1 Cor 15:21–22) and salvation through faith in Christ alone (Rom 4:2; Eph 2:8–10; 2 Tim 1:9; Titus 3:5). Origen's belief in the eventual salvation of all, including Satan and demons, contradicts the biblical descriptions of eternal punishment for the wicked (Matt 25:46; Rev 20:10).

Augustine provided crucial insights into human depravity and divine grace that would later influence Lutheran theology. His emphasis on the necessity of God's prevenient grace (from the Latin term, *praevenire*, meaning "to come before" or "to precede") in enabling human beings to respond to the Gospel has been particularly influential (John 1:9; 6:44; 12:32; Rom 2:4; Titus 2:11). However, his incorporation of Platonic concepts, such as the inherent goodness of the soul and its ultimate destiny to return to its divine source, sometimes obscured the biblical understanding of human nature. This led to an overemphasis on the spiritual at the expense of the bodily, which can minimize the significance of the incarnation and the resurrection.

The biblical doctrine, clearly articulated in Lutheran theology, teaches that humans were created as unified beings of body and soul in God's image (Gen 1:26–27). Through the Fall, human nature became thoroughly corrupted in all its aspects—both spiritual and physical (Rom 5:12, 14, 18). This total depravity means humans cannot come to Christ in saving faith by their own reason or strength.

The Gospel proclaims that Christ redeems the whole person—body and soul. Through Baptism and the Word, the Spirit creates saving faith and begins the restoration of God's image in believers. This renewal will be completed in the resurrection when believers receive glorified bodies (1 Cor 15:42–44), uniting them perfectly with their redeemed souls.

Lutheran theology insists these truths must be drawn from and measured against Scripture alone (*sola Scriptura*), not philosophical speculation or human reason. While the Church Fathers provide valuable historical witness to the Christian faith, their writings must always be subordinate to the inspired, authoritative Word of God as the sole source and norm of doctrine.

The Formula of Concord rightly emphasizes that we must maintain both the unity of human nature and the devastating effects of original sin, avoiding both Platonic dualism and Pelagian optimism about human spiritual capabilities. Our anthropology, our understanding of what it means to be human, flows directly from our soteriology, our understanding of salvation. Since we are saved by grace alone, through faith alone, and in Christ alone, our view of human nature must reflect this profound dependence on God's unmerited favor for our redemption.

4.0 Embodied Personhood in Second Temple Jewish Thought

The literature of the Second Temple period (roughly 516 BC–70 AD, though some related texts extend into the early rabbinic period) provides a rich and complex backdrop for understanding Jewish conceptions of human personhood, particularly concerning the relationship between body and soul. During this period, Jewish thought was shaped both by its theological traditions and by its interactions with

surrounding cultures, including Hellenistic philosophy. Certain schools of thought, such as Platonism, often emphasized a radical separation between body and soul. In contrast, many Second Temple Jewish texts primarily stressed the unity of the human person as an embodied soul, though some texts reflect nuanced or dualistic perspectives.

While the Old Testament lays the groundwork for understanding human nature, the intertestamental writings further develop the concept of human beings as unified entities. This holistic view is particularly articulated in texts such as Sirach and the Wisdom of Solomon. Sirach 17:1–2 emphasizes the divine formation of humans from the earth, affirming the goodness of the created body. Similarly, Wisdom of Solomon 2:23–24 affirms that God created humans for incorruption, underscoring the embodied nature of human existence and reflecting engagement with Hellenistic ideas about immortality and the corruption introduced by sin.

Unlike certain Platonic traditions, which viewed the body as a hindrance to the soul, many Jewish texts from the Second Temple period affirm the body as an integral part of God's creation. The Dead Sea Scrolls (particularly 1QS 3:13–4:26), written between the second century BC and the first century AD, reflect a worldview in which bodily existence plays a meaningful role in divine purpose and eschatological restoration. Similarly, the depiction of the afterlife in Jubilees suggests a renewed existence in which both spiritual and physical elements are emphasized. The righteous are envisioned as experiencing a restored and blessed life in an Eden-like setting, free from the troubles and suffering of the present world.

During the Second Temple period, particularly from the 3rd–2nd centuries BC onward, the belief in bodily resurrection became more clearly articulated, especially among the Pharisees. Daniel 12:2 provides one of the earliest explicit biblical references to this concept, describing a resurrection of both the righteous to "everlasting life" and the wicked to "shame" and "everlasting contempt." This belief was further developed in texts like 2 Maccabees 7:9–14, where martyrdom narratives express hope in bodily resurrection as part of divine justice.

Josephus, in *Antiquities* 18.1.3, describes Pharisaic beliefs in the soul's immortality and posthumous rewards and punishments. While his account may have been influenced by efforts to frame Jewish beliefs in terms familiar to Greco-Roman audiences, it reflects a conviction in continued personal identity after death. This notion of resurrection differed from predominant Greco-Roman philosophical concepts, which often emphasized an immortal, disembodied soul rather than bodily restoration.

The unity of body and soul in Second Temple Judaism carries significant ethical implications, particularly in relation to moral behavior, religious observance, and communal responsibilities. The Torah's commandments regulate both ritual and

interpersonal conduct, addressing the physical and spiritual dimensions of life. For instance, the laws of kashrut (dietary regulations) combine physical acts with spiritual significance, while commands regarding charity (*tzedakah*) unite material giving with moral obligation. This integration reflects the belief that holiness encompasses the whole person.

Such a holistic perspective appears in several Second Temple period texts. The Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs advocate for integrity in thought, action, and worship. While manuscript traditions vary, the Testament of Naphtali emphasizes the harmonious relationship between body and soul in pursuing righteousness. This theme of unified human nature finds fuller expression in the Dead Sea Scrolls, particularly in 4Q Instruction (Musar leMevin). This sapiential text presents wisdom as encompassing both practical living and spiritual understanding, teaching its readers to view daily conduct, ritual observance, and mystical knowledge as interconnected aspects of divine instruction. This approach is characteristic of Qumran literature, which consistently presents human flourishing as requiring the integration of physical and spiritual dimensions.

This understanding of human personhood illuminates the biblical vision of identity and destiny by affirming the unity of body and soul. While direct influence is difficult to establish, this perspective shares important parallels with later Lutheran approaches to theological anthropology.

5.0 Holistic Anthropology in New Testament Theology

The New Testament, in harmony with the Old Testament and the literature of Second Temple Judaism, teaches that humans are deeply integrated and holistic beings created by God, fundamentally corrupted by original sin, and in need of complete redemption through Christ alone. The key focus is not on abstract anthropological categories but on our complete dependence on the Messiah's redemptive work—in both body and soul—for salvation. This perspective is made evident through several key dimensions.

5.1 Key Lexical Terms

In harmony with the Old Testament, the literature of Second Temple Judaism, and the New Testament, Lutheran theology presents a holistic understanding of human nature, emphasizing the unity of body, mind, and spirit in both creation and redemption. Key lexical terms—such as *psychē*, *nous*, *sarx*, and *sōma*—illustrate this integrated perspective, highlighting the profound interplay between humanity's fallen condition and the transformative work of Christ.

Psychē (ψυχή): The living person in their entirety, encompassing their physical body, emotions, will, and intellect. Scripture presents *psychē* as the whole self in

relationship with God. While medieval scholasticism often emphasized a clear soul-body distinction, Luther returned to Scripture's more holistic anthropology. He emphasized the unified human creature before God while still acknowledging the reality of both material and spiritual aspects of human nature. This unity is especially evident in Luther's understanding of death as the temporary separation of body and soul until their reunion in the resurrection.

Nous (νοῦς): The mind or understanding, particularly in its capacity for spiritual comprehension and moral judgment. Lutheran theology emphasizes that the *nous* is not merely corrupted but also entirely blind in spiritual matters (i.e., totally depraved). Apart from the Spirit's work through Word and Sacrament, the human *nous* cannot grasp spiritual truth or truly know God (1 Cor 2:14). Even after conversion, the renewal of the *nous* remains incomplete in this life due to ongoing sin, though the Spirit gradually transforms it through the means of grace (Rom 12:2; Eph 4:23; Col 3:10).

Sarx (σάρξ): The whole person under sin's dominion, representing not just physical desires but also our entire fallen nature. Lutheran theology understands *sarx* as describing humanity's total corruption by original sin—what Luther termed the "old Adam" or "old creature." This affects every faculty of human nature, including reason and will. The *sarx* remains active even in the baptized as a constant source of opposition to the Spirit (Rom 7:15–23; 8:5–8; Gal 5:17), though its dominion is broken through union with Christ (Rom 6:4–11).

Sōma (σῶμα): The embodied person as created by God. Lutheran theology strongly affirms the body's goodness as the Lord's creation while acknowledging its present bondage to sin. The body is not merely a shell, but also an essential aspect of human nature, integral to God's good creation and Christ's redemptive work. This is especially evident in Lutheran sacramental theology, where the Messiah comes to us through physical means (water, bread, and wine) to redeem both body and soul. The body will be transformed and glorified in the resurrection, not abandoned. This counters both ancient gnostic and modern spiritualistic tendencies to devalue physical existence.

The key Lutheran emphasis across all these terms is the unity of human nature—both in its fall into sin and its redemption in union with Christ. This unified anthropology shapes Lutheran understanding of both Law and Gospel, as well as sacramental theology, where God works through physical means to redeem the whole person.

5.2 Christological Foundations in Jesus' Ministry

Jesus' teachings and ministry affirm the biblical and Lutheran understanding that humans are an integrated unity of body and soul, not divisible "parts," but a complete person created by God. This foundational truth is demonstrated in the following ways.

5.2.1 The Great Commandment (Matt 22:37–39)

When Jesus quotes Deuteronomy 6:5, he uses three terms—"heart" (*kardia*), "soul" (*psychē*), and "mind" (*dianoia*)—not to divide the person into separate components but to emphasize the total devotion of the whole person to God. As Luther explains in the Large Catechism, this command calls us to fear, love, and trust in the Lord above all things with our entire being. This understanding aligns with Scripture's teaching that God created humanity—body and soul—in his image (Gen 1:27). Faith, therefore, involves the whole person in relationship with the Lord, not merely intellectual agreement with doctrinal truths.

5.2.2 Christ's Healing Ministry

Jesus' healing miracles, such as the paralytic (Mark 2:1–12) and the man born blind (John 9), reveal Jesus' authority over both physical and spiritual restoration. These healings are not merely physical cures but also attesting signs pointing to the Messiah's complete work of redemption. The forgiveness of sins and physical healing are united in Christ's ministry, demonstrating his concern for the entire person. This wholeness finds its ultimate fulfillment in the resurrection of the body, where, as confessed in the Third Article of the Small Catechism, the Son will raise all believers to eternal life. The incarnation itself—where Christ took on human flesh while remaining true God—underscores the Lord's commitment to redeem both body and soul, united in one person.

5.3 Pauline Theological Anthropology

Paul's writings present humans as unified beings created by God, fallen into sin, and redeemed in Christ. While the apostle uses various terms to describe human nature, Lutheran theology understands this as teaching about the whole person rather than dividing humans into separate components.

5.3.1 Romans 12:1–2

This passage teaches that the entire person—body included—is involved in the life of faith. The renewal Paul describes comes through the means of grace (Word and Sacrament), by which the Spirit works faith and its fruits in believers. This is not about transforming separate parts but about God's work in the whole person.

5.3.2 1 Corinthians 6:19–20

The "temple" imagery emphasizes that the entire person belongs to God through Christ's redemption. Lutheran theology understands this as teaching the dignity of the body and its inclusion in God's redemptive work while avoiding any notion that the Spirit only indwells an "immaterial" or "metaphysical" component of the person.

5.3.3 1 Thessalonians 5:23

While this verse uses three terms (spirit, soul, and body), Lutheran theology understands this as teaching the completeness of God's sanctifying work rather than establishing a rigid, three-part division of human nature. Sanctification encompasses the whole person, worked by the Spirit through the means of grace, not through human effort or progressive improvement of different "parts."

5.3.4 Key Lutheran Emphases

- Humans are unified beings, not an assortment of separate components.
- Sin affects the entire person, not just certain aspects.
- Justification and sanctification involve the whole person.
- God works through means (Word and Sacrament) to create and sustain faith.
- The body is integral to human nature and will be raised in the resurrection.
- Sanctification is the Spirit's work through the means of grace, not human effort.

This understanding preserves the biblical witness while avoiding philosophical divisions of human nature that can lead to various theological errors.

5.4 Resurrection and Eschatological Anthropology

The doctrine of the resurrection stands at the heart of Christian hope, proclaiming that through the Messiah's victory, believers will be raised bodily from the dead (Col 3:13–15). This physical resurrection affirms God's original creation of humans as both body and soul, and his redemption of the whole person through union with Christ. This truth is substantiated by 1 Corinthians 15:42–44 and Philippians 3:20–21.

5.4.1 1 Corinthians 15:42–44

Paul presents the resurrection body through four contrasts, each highlighting God's transformative work:

- The perishable body will be raised imperishable, freed from death and decay.
- The dishonorable body will be raised in glory, cleansed from sin's corruption.
- The weak body will be raised in power, no longer subject to illness and frailty.
- The natural body will be raised a spiritual body, fully renewed while remaining truly physical.

The passive voice "is raised" (*egeiretai*) emphasizes that God alone accomplishes this resurrection, consistent with the Lutheran teaching of salvation by grace alone through faith alone, which is how God declares a sinner righteous in Christ, the foundation for the hope of resurrection. The "spiritual body" (*soma pneumatikon*) does

not mean a non-physical body, but rather the physical body now perfectly restored and fully enlivened by the Spirit. It is crucial to note that this understanding refutes any "gnostic" misinterpretation of the resurrection as a purely metaphysical event.

5.4.2 Philippians 3:20–21

By his divine power, Christ will transform our lowly bodies to be like his glorious body. This transformation preserves the personhood and materiality of our bodies while perfecting them. Lutheran theology emphasizes that just as the Messiah's resurrection body was physical yet glorified (Luke 24:39; John 20:24–27), so too will our resurrection bodies be our own physical bodies, now freed from sin and death.

5.4.3 Continuity and Transformation in the Resurrection Body

The resurrection body demonstrates both continuity and transformation:

- It is the same body that died, now raised and glorified.
- It remains a true physical body, though transformed beyond present limitations.
- It is freed from all effects of sin while retaining its created goodness.
- It reflects Christ's own resurrection body as the "firstfruits of those who have fallen asleep" (1 Cor 15:20).

This understanding affirms several key Lutheran emphases:

- The goodness of God's physical creation against any form of spiritual-material dualism.
- The real presence of Christ's body and blood in the Lord's Supper as a foretaste of resurrection life.
- Salvation as the redemption of the whole person—body and soul together.
- The centrality of Christ's physical resurrection as the guarantee of our own.
- The resurrection of the body informs the church's mission and its understanding of the communion of saints.

The bodily resurrection gives concrete hope to believers, assuring us that death will not have the final word. Our bodies, though now subject to death because of sin, will be raised immortal through union with Christ, who has conquered death. This hope shapes how we view our present bodies and our eternal future in communion with God in heaven.

5.5 Theological Implications

The New Testament's holistic anthropology may be summarized as follows:

- *Unity of body and soul*: Humans are created as unified beings of both body and soul, inseparably joined until death. This reflects Luther's teaching

that we are simultaneously physical and spiritual creatures created for life in both realms. While Greek philosophy and modern materialism err in different directions, Scripture teaches that humans are whole persons under both God's creation and Christ's redemption.

- *Sanctification through Word and Sacrament*: Lutheran theology emphasizes that sanctification primarily comes through the means of grace—Word and Sacrament. The Spirit works through these means to create and strengthen faith, as well as to transform the hearts of believers.
- *Bodily resurrection as Gospel promise*: The Christian hope centers on God's promise of bodily resurrection, where we will be raised as whole persons just as Christ was raised. This is not merely spiritual renewal but also the restoration of the whole person—body and soul—as the Lord intended in creation, glorified and free from the limitations of our present physicality.
- *Christian life in two kingdoms*: The Christian lives simultaneously in two kingdoms (Luther's two-kingdom doctrine), serving God through both spiritual and physical vocations. Faith expresses itself through love and service to neighbor in bodily, concrete ways within our various callings. While distinct, these realms are not entirely separate. Christians are called to live out their faith in all areas of life, especially by seeking to bring God's justice and love to bear on all of creation.

This theological understanding shapes Lutheran practice in several ways. First, it emphasizes that God comes to us through physical means—water, bread, and wine—united with his Word. Second, it reminds us that we serve God not primarily through pietistic, spiritual exercises but through faithful service in our earthly callings. Third, it maintains the proper distinction between justification (God's work for us in union with Christ) and sanctification (the Spirit's work through the means of grace), avoiding confusion between our response to grace and grace itself.

This theological framework aligns with both Scripture and the Lutheran Confessions, particularly the Augsburg Confession and the Formula of Concord. These carefully articulate how God works through means to create and sustain faith while preserving the central doctrine of justification by grace through faith alone.

6.0 The Implications of Artificial Intelligence (AI) for the Biblical View of Human Personhood

6.1 Introduction: AI and the Mind/Body Question

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is advancing rapidly, raising profound theological and philosophical questions about human cognition and the nature of personhood. By

mimicking aspects of human thought—including reasoning, learning, and problem-solving—AI invites theological reflection on the unique characteristics that define humanity according to Christian anthropology. From a Lutheran theological perspective, rooted in biblical revelation, human beings are created as holistic, integrated beings—a profound union of body and spirit (Gen 2:7). This divinely ordained unity reflects the *imago Dei* (the image of God), which extends beyond mere rational capabilities to encompass relationality (our capacity for love and community), moral agency (our ability to discern and choose good), and our vocation to reflect God's glory in the world.

In contrast, AI fundamentally differs from human beings in its ontological essence. From the perspective of Lutheran theology, AI lacks both a physical body and, more critically, a soul—the pneumatic dimension that distinguishes humans as spiritual beings created for relationship with God. While AI can simulate cognitive processes with increasing sophistication, it neither possesses genuine consciousness nor participates in the divine image. This essential distinction emphasizes that AI functions as an advanced technological tool capable of processing information and executing tasks, but fundamentally different from human beings.

These theological considerations compel a deeper exploration of the uniqueness of human personhood within the context of AI. They challenge believers to affirm human existence as a sacred gift from God, recognizing human dignity not through computational capabilities but through our distinctive ontology as the Lord's beloved creation. While acknowledging potential concerns about AI, such as job displacement, algorithmic bias, and the misuse of technology, this perspective encourages faithful and prudent engagement with technological advances. It is possible to use AI responsibly. This includes recognizing its potential to serve humanity in areas like AI-assisted diagnostics in medicine, AI-driven climate modeling in scientific research, and AI-optimized resource distribution to address global hunger while maintaining a uniquely Christian understanding of human personhood grounded in Scripture and the redemptive hope of the Gospel.

6.2 AI in the Modern World

Modern AI systems, particularly large language models and neural networks, use sophisticated computational approaches to process information and solve complex problems. These systems rely on several fundamental technologies and methods:

Neural networks form the foundation of modern AI, using interconnected layers of artificial neurons to process information. While inspired by biological brains, these networks operate quite differently, using mathematical functions to transform and transmit data between layers.

Deep learning extends neural networks by employing multiple specialized layers. Each layer progressively identifies more abstract features in the data, allowing the

system to recognize complex patterns. For example, in image recognition, early layers might detect basic edges while deeper layers identify complete objects like faces or cars.

The transformer architecture revolutionized AI by introducing mechanisms that help models better understand context and relationships in data. This architecture excels at processing sequential information by considering how different elements relate to each other, making it particularly effective for language tasks.

Reinforcement learning enables AI systems to improve through experimentation. The system performs actions, receives feedback about their outcomes, and adjusts its behavior to maximize positive results. This approach mirrors how humans learn from experience, though the underlying mechanisms differ significantly.

Building on these foundational technologies, modern AI exhibits several key capabilities:

- *Natural Language Processing* (NLP) allows systems to work with human language, supporting tasks like translation and summarization. However, it is important to note that AI does not truly "understand" language the way humans do, as in possessing genuine comprehension. Rather, AI recognizes patterns and statistical relationships in text.
- *Pattern recognition* enables AI to identify regularities and structures in various types of data, from images to sound waves. This capability powers applications like facial recognition and speech-to-text conversion.
- *Adaptive learning* describes how AI systems can update their behavior based on new information, though this typically requires specific training procedures rather than the continuous, organic learning humans exhibit.
- *Creative generation* refers to AI's ability to produce novel content by recombining and transforming patterns learned from training data. While these systems can generate impressive outputs, they do not possess human-like creativity or any real understanding of the meaning of what they create.

These advancements raise profound questions: What constitutes genuine intelligence? What is consciousness, and how does it differ from mere computation? How does biblical revelation illuminate the unique nature of humanity? From a Lutheran perspective, humans are created as *nephesh* (Gen 1:26–27), an integrated unity of body, soul, and spirit, reflecting the *imago Dei*—the image of God. This holistic view stands in contrast to:

- *Cartesian dualism*: This philosophical view erroneously separates the mind (or soul) from the body.
- *Materialistic reductionism*: This worldview denies the existence of a spiritual dimension, reducing human beings to mere biological or mechanical processes.

While AI systems can exhibit remarkable abilities, they lack the *imago Dei*. They possess no soul or spirit, no consciousness, and no moral accountability. They are tools, products of human ingenuity, not living beings with inherent value and purpose. This distinction calls Christians to approach AI with wisdom and discernment. We should acknowledge the potential benefits of AI while maintaining a clear theological understanding of human dignity and the unique relationship between God and humanity.

6.3 Reexamining Dualism in the Age of AI

The emergence of AI necessitates a critical reexamination of mind-body dualism, prompting a deeper inquiry into its implications through both theological and philosophical lenses. While AI's capacity to simulate facets of human cognition, such as learning and problem-solving, might superficially seem to corroborate certain Greek philosophical traditions that prioritized the mind over the body, this resemblance ultimately proves deceptive.

Lutheran theology, being rooted in the biblical understanding of creation, unequivocally rejects a strict mind-body dualism. It affirms the inseparable unity of body, soul, and spirit as fundamental to human personhood. Indeed, Scripture consistently presents a holistic view of human existence, particularly by emphasizing the integral role of the embodied experience in shaping human consciousness, relationships, and spiritual life. AI, being devoid of a physical body and the attendant embodied experiences that profoundly influence human cognition and interaction, inevitably fails to capture this holistic understanding of human personhood.

6.4 Consciousness: A Biblical and Neurological Perspective

The advent of AI compels us to delve deeply into the nature of human consciousness, particularly as these inquiries intersect with theology, neuroscience, and technology. From a Lutheran perspective, these discussions must be grounded in both biblical truths and the insights gleaned from scientific understanding.

Scripture teaches that human consciousness is intimately linked to the *imago Dei* (Gen 1:26–27), the divine image. This encompasses more than mere intellectual capacity; it includes spiritual and relational dimensions that reflect God's own nature. Unlike humans, AI lacks a soul and the capacity for genuine moral agency, both essential aspects of bearing God's image. In biblical understanding, consciousness is not merely a byproduct of physical processes but a reflection of humanity's unique role in creation and its inherent capacity for a relationship with the Creator.

Neuroscience research has demonstrated that the human brain is a marvel of complexity, comprising approximately 85–100 billion neurons interconnected by trillions of synapses. This intricate network underlies human consciousness through

coordinated patterns of electrical and chemical signaling within and across various brain regions. The human brain exhibits remarkable properties, including neuroplasticity—its ability to reorganize itself in response to experience—and the emergence of consciousness, where subjective awareness arises from complex neural processes (though the precise mechanisms remain an active area of research). These features contribute to advanced human capacities such as self-awareness, moral reasoning, and a wide range of emotional experiences.

In contrast, artificial neural networks, while capable of simulating certain aspects of brain function, differ fundamentally from biological neural systems. AI systems operate based on algorithmic processes and weighted connections, which, despite their impressive computational power, lack the self-organizing principles, biological substrates, and subjective experiences inherent in the human brain. AI systems cannot engage in genuine moral reasoning or cultivate authentic relationality, aligning with the biblical understanding that human consciousness transcends mere information processing.

The integration of neuroscientific insights with biblical anthropology reinforces the understanding that human consciousness is both embodied and transcendent. While neuroscience illuminates the material mechanisms underpinning consciousness, theology affirms its metaphysical dimensions, with the soul serving as the bridge between physical brain processes and spiritual realities. This perspective emphasizes that humans, created in the image of God, possess unique qualities such as moral agency, spiritual awareness, and the capacity for genuine relationships with God and others. These aspects of consciousness surpass the capabilities of AI, which is rooted solely in physical mechanisms.

Ultimately, while AI may excel in performing specific cognitive tasks, it cannot attain the full depth of human consciousness. The biblical perspective asserts that consciousness is inextricably linked to humanity's divine origin and spiritual nature. Any exploration of AI's capabilities must, therefore, acknowledge these inherent limitations, recognizing the profound mystery of what it means to be human in the light of God's sovereign, all-encompassing, creative work.

6.5 The Significance of Embodiment

Lutheran theology, being grounded in a biblical understanding of personhood, emphasizes the inseparable union of the human spirit and the physical body. This concept stands in stark contrast to the disembodied nature of AI. Central to this theological framework is Jesus' incarnation. Christ, being fully God and fully human, assumed a human body, revealing the profound significance of embodiment within the Creator's redemptive plan. Jesus' bodily resurrection further affirms the enduring importance of the physical body in God's eternal purpose for humanity.

Similarly, the Old Testament consistently employs the Hebrew term *nephesh* to convey the embodied nature of human existence, illustrating the integral relationship between the physical and spiritual dimensions of human existence. This holistic view of personhood further highlights the limitations of AI. As a purely incorporeal entity, AI fundamentally lacks the embodied reality essential to the scriptural depiction of humanity. Consequently, AI cannot fully reflect or participate in the holistic personhood affirmed by biblical teaching.

6.6 AI and Human Uniqueness: Theological and Ethical Considerations

The rise of artificial intelligence presents profound challenges and opportunities that necessitate careful theological reflection. While AI can process vast amounts of data and simulate interactions, it remains incapable of experiencing spirituality, making moral judgments, or forming genuine connections rooted in love and divine purpose.

Theological reflection on AI must reaffirm human dignity and exceptionalism. Scripture teaches that humanity, as God's creation, possesses inherent worth and responsibility. As AI advances, ethical frameworks grounded in biblical anthropology are essential to ensure technology serves humanity rather than diminishes it. Issues of fairness, justice, and accountability must be evaluated in light of God's moral order, preventing AI from being used in ways that dehumanize individuals or erode ethical responsibility.

Moreover, the increasing reliance on AI raises concerns about idolatry. The Bible warns against placing undue trust in human creations (Isa 44:9–20), reminding Christians that wisdom and security ultimately come from God. While AI can enhance various aspects of life, it must not be elevated to a position of authority that undermines divine sovereignty or human accountability. Instead, engagement with AI requires discernment, humility, and a commitment to Christ-centered values.

At the same time, AI presents opportunities for evangelism, apologetics, and pastoral care. Conversations about artificial intelligence invite deeper discussions on the nature of human existence, providing a platform to articulate the biblical view of personhood, purpose, and redemption. The Church has a crucial role in guiding individuals through the ethical and existential implications of AI, offering spiritual direction that remains anchored in Scripture.

Ultimately, the development and use of AI must align with principles of ethical stewardship that honor the sanctity of human life. A robust theological understanding of human nature—one that affirms the integration of body, mind, and spirit—provides a necessary foundation for engaging with AI in a way that upholds human dignity and fosters communal flourishing. Technological advancements should serve as tools for human good while remaining firmly rooted in the theological truths revealed in the Old and New Testaments.

7.0 Practical Implications for Integrated Christian Living

In Lutheran theology, human life is understood as an integrated whole, a unity of body and soul inseparable in God's creative and redemptive design. This perspective, rooted in the biblical narrative of creation and redemption, affirms that human beings, created in the image of God, are embodied creatures whose physical and spiritual dimensions are intrinsically intertwined.

The doctrine of vocation exemplifies this holistic understanding. Daily life—work, rest, and service—becomes a sacred calling, a means of glorifying God and serving others. Caring for one's body is not mere vanity but faithful stewardship. It recognizes that our physical existence is a "temple of the Holy Spirit" (1 Cor 6:19) and an expression of God's grace.

Central to this theology are the means of grace: Word and Sacrament. Baptism and Holy Communion are profound demonstrations of how God uses physical elements to convey spiritual blessings. In Baptism, water and the Word cleanse and renew, while in Holy Communion, the Messiah's true body and blood are received, nourishing the entire person—material and immaterial.

Ministry and pastoral care reflect this comprehensive approach. The interplay of Law and Gospel spotlights our fallen condition while simultaneously demonstrating Christ's solidarity with human suffering. The Office of the Keys, exercised through the spoken word of absolution, provides spiritual comfort that extends beyond merely psychological or physical boundaries. Worship itself is a holistic experience, engaging the entire person through physical acts of communion, confession, and receiving divine grace. This participation emphasizes how God's redemptive work touches both body and soul.

The incarnation of Christ is the foundation of this understanding. By uniting the divine and human natures, Jesus of Nazareth sanctifies physical existence and prefigures the future resurrection. Lutheran eschatology affirms the resurrection as a tangible reality where body and soul will be fully restored at the Second Advent, reflecting the comprehensive nature of redemption.

The third use of the Law further illuminates this integrated understanding. Good works, flowing from faith, are not merely spiritual abstractions but concrete acts of service shaped by the Lord's will. Sanctification encompasses the whole person, demonstrating how faith is lived out through physical engagement with the world.

Ultimately, the Christian life is not a dualistic struggle between physical and spiritual realms but a unified journey of faith. God works through physical means to deliver spiritual gifts, grounding believers in the hope of resurrection. This confident expectation is centered on the risen Christ, whose victory secures the eternal unity and restoration of body and soul.

8.0 Conclusion: Body and Soul United—A Biblical Response to Technological Dualism

The biblical understanding of human nature, in stark contrast to Greco-Roman dualism, profoundly shapes our understanding of existence, particularly in an age increasingly dominated by AI. Genesis 2:7 presents humanity as a unified creation, a harmonious union of body and soul. While sin has marred this unity, the biblical narrative consistently affirms the goodness of God's creation, including the physical realm. This holistic view stands in opposition to the dualistic philosophies of Plato and Aristotle, which prioritize the spiritual over the material and often advocate for human salvation through reason or moral effort.

The Lutheran doctrine of *sola gratia* and *sola fide* emphasizes that salvation is a gift of God's grace received through faith in Christ. Jesus, fully God and fully human, assumed humanity in its entirety—including the physical—and secured redemption at Calvary for the whole person. This redemption is mediated through the sacraments of Baptism, which claims the entire person for Christ, and Holy Communion, which nourishes believers with the body and blood of Christ.

AI, while a powerful tool, lacks the soul and cannot participate in the Lord's redemptive plan. AI's creation, however impressive, does not diminish the unique value of human beings, who are made in God's image, and for believers, who are destined for eternal life. Lutheran doctrine, as articulated in the doctrine of *simul justus et peccator* (simultaneously saint and sinner), acknowledges the ongoing reality of sin while affirming the believer's righteousness in union with the Messiah. This theological perspective provides a balanced approach to technology. It neither idolizes human reason nor dismisses the physical world but rather grounds all of life in the redemptive work of Christ.

Ultimately, believers are called to use technology as a tool for service to God and neighbor. Guided by the dialectic tension between Law and Gospel, we are taught to recognize our limitations while trusting in God's grace. This theological framework prevents both the idolatrous worship of technology and the misguided pursuit of salvation through human achievement.

Dan Lioy *is Professor of Biblical Studies at ILT Christ School of Theology. He holds the Ph.D. from North-West University (South Africa) and is a teaching pastor at Our Savior's Lutheran Church (NALC) in Salem, Oregon.*

Note

1. Unless otherwise noted, all Scripture quotations are taken from the Evangelical Heritage Version, © 2019 Wartburg Project, Inc. All rights reserved.

Select Bibliography for Further In-Depth Study

Arias, Carlos R. "An Introduction to Artificial Intelligence." In *AI, Faith, and the Future: An Interdisciplinary Approach*, 15–51. Eugene, OR: Pickwick Publications, 2022.

Baker, Phillip M. "Reinforcement in the Information Revolution." In *AI, Faith, and the Future: An Interdisciplinary Approach*, 128–151. Eugene, OR: Pickwick Publications, 2022.

Bartneck, Christoph, Christoph Lütge , Alan Wagner, and Sean Welsh. *An Introduction to Ethics in Robotics and AI*. Switzerland: Springer, 2021.

Basti, Gianfranco. "Information Processing in the Brain Considered as a Non-linear, Self-organizing System: Toward a 'Dual' Solution of the Mind-body Problem." In *The Science and Theology of Information*, 203–214. Geneva: Labor et Fides, 1992.

Bayne, Tim, Axel Cleeremans, and Patrick Wilken, eds. *The Oxford Companion to Consciousness*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010.

Bellini, Peter J. "Robochurch? Strong AI and Its Theological Implications." *Wesleyan Theological Journal* 57, no. 2 (Fall 2022): 82–107.

Biscaia, Fernández and José Miguel. "From Natural Emotions to Artificial Emotionality." *Cuadernos Salmantinos de Filosofía* 48 (2021): 105-139.

Bostrom, Nick. *Superintelligence: Paths, Dangers, Strategies*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2014.

Brown, Deborah. "Animal Automatism and Machine Intelligence." *Res Philosophica* 92, no. 1 (January 2015): 93–115.

Brittain, Christopher Craig. "Artificial Intelligence: Three Challenges to Theology." *Toronto Journal of Theology* 36, no. 1 (2020): 84–86.

Brungs. Robert A. "Biotechnology and Bodiliness." *Communio* 8 (1981): 139–159.

Bucher, Theodor G. "Seele und Mentales." In *The Science and Theology of Information*, 215–221. Geneva: Labor et Fides, 1992.

Chalmers, David J. *The Conscious Mind: In Search of a Fundamental Theory*. New York, NY; Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 1996.

Chan, Abraham H. T. "Great Completion Appears to Be Imperfect: On a Christian Notion of Body, Mind, and Its Spirituality in a Technocratic Society." *Theology & Life* 34 (2011): 277–287.

Checketts, Levi, Brian Cutter, Noreen L. Herzfeld, Cory Andrew Labrecque, Anselm Ramelow, Paul Scherz, Marga Vega, Andrea Vicini, Jordan Joseph Wales, Brian Patrick Green, and Matthew J. Gaudet. "Artificial Intelligence and Moral Theology: A Conversation." *Journal of Moral Theology* 11 (2022): 13–40.

Childs, James M. "Beyond the Boundaries of Current Human Nature: Some Theological and Ethical Reflections on Transhumanism." *Dialog* 54, no. 1 (Spring 2015): 8–19.

Choi, Michael J. "Consciousness and Intentionality in AI and the Imago Dei." *Canon & Culture* 10, no. 2 (2016): 69–90.

Chun, Chul. "The Body of Artificial Intelligence and Human Intelligence: Toward a Discourse Between Religion and Science on the Image of Body." *Theological Thought* (2023): 69–86.

Clark, Andy. *Natural-Born Cyborgs: Minds, Technologies, and the Future of Human Intelligence*. Oxford, UK; New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 2003.

Clough, William R. "Natural Intelligence and Intelligible Design: Toward Harmonious Integration." *Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies* 22, nos. 1–2 (2010): 134–154.

Coeckelbergh, Mark. *AI Ethics*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2020.

Dagan, Ido. "Trans-Belief: Developing Artificial Intelligence NLP Model Capable of Religious-Belief-Like Cognitive Processes for Expected Enhanced Cognitive Ability." *Religions* 15, no. 6 (June 2024): 1–14.

Delio, Ilia. "Artificial Intelligence, Human Consciousness, and the Spiritual Quest." *Theology and Science* 20, no. 3 (2022): 245–262.

Dembski, William A. "Converting Matter into Mind: Alchemy and the Philosopher's Stone in Cognitive Science." *Perspectives on Science and Christian Faith* 42, no. 4 (December 1990): 202–226.

Dorobantu, Marius. "Artificial Intelligence as a Testing Ground for Key Theological Questions." *Zygon* 57, no. 4 (2022): 984–999.

Dorobantu, Marius. "Imago Dei in the Age of Artificial Intelligence: Challenges and Opportunities for a Science-Engaged Theology." *Christian Perspectives on Science and Technology* 1 (December 2022): 175–196.

Dumouchel, Paul. "Intelligence, Artificial and Otherwise." *Forum Philosophicum* 24, no. 2 (Fall 2019): 241–258.

Duquoc, Christian. "Introduction à la problématique théologique de l'anthropologie." In *Humain à l'image de Dieu: La Théologie et Les Sciences Humaines Face au Problème de l'Anthropologie*. Edited by Pierre Bühler, 17–26. Geneva: Labor et Fides, 1989.

Dürr, Oliver, Jan Segessenmann, and Jan Juhani Steinmann. "Meaning, Form, and the Limits of Natural Language Processing." *Philosophy, Theology, and the Sciences* 10, no. 1 (2023): 42–72.

Floridi, Luciano. *The Ethics of Information*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 2011.

Floridi, Luciano. *The Fourth Revolution: How the Infosphere Is Reshaping Human Reality*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 2014.

Garcia, R. "Artificial Intelligence and Personhood." In *Cutting-Edge Bioethics: A Christian Exploration of Technologies and Trends*. Edited by John F. Kilner, C. Christopher Hook, and Diann B. Uustal, 39 – 51. Grand Rapids, MI; Cambridge, UK: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 2002.

Garreau, Joel. *Radical Evolution: The Promise and Peril of Enhancing Our Minds, Our Bodies—and What It Means to Be Human*. New York: Broadway, 2006.

Gaudet, Matthew J. "An Introduction to the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence." *Journal of Moral Theology* 11 (2022): 1–12.

Gaudet, Matthew J., Herzfeld, N., Scherz, P., and Wales, A.J. *Encountering Artificial Intelligence: Ethical and Anthropological Investigations*. Eugene, OR: Pickwick, 2024.

Gisel, Pierre. "Humain à l'image de Dieu: La Théologie et Les Sciences Humaines Face au Problème de l'Anthropologie." In *Perspectives Théologiques sur l'Homme: Introduction*, 27–53. Geneva: Labor et Fides, 1989.

Gouw, Arvin M. "Optimistic Yet Disembodied: The Misguided Transhumanist Vision." *Theology and Science* 16, no. 2 (2018): 229–233.

Graves, Mark. "Framing Theological Investigations of Near-Future AI." *Theology and Science* 22, no. 4 (October 2024): 657–661.

Green, Brian Patrick. "Artificial Intelligence, Ethics, and Theological Imagination." *Journal of Moral Theology* 11 (2022): 41–62.

Gregory, Richard L., ed. *The Oxford Companion to the Mind*. 2nd ed. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 2004.

Hackmann, Daniel. "AI and Personhood: A Theological Perspective." *Verba Vitae* 1, nos. 3–4 (October 11, 2024): 97–111.

Harari, Yuval Noah. *Homo Deus: A Brief History of Tomorrow*. New York: Harper, 2017.

Hefner, Philip. *The Human Factor: Evolution, Culture, and Religion*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1993.

Herzfeld, Noreen L. In *Our Image: Artificial Intelligence and the Human Spirit*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2002.

Herzfeld, Noreen L. "Bodies Matter: A New Fad and a Fallacy in the Name of Science." In *God's Action in Nature's World: Essays in Honour of Robert John Russell*, 225–233. Aldershot, England; Burlington, VT: Ashgate, 2006.

Herzfeld, Noreen L. "More than Information: A Christian Critique of a New Dualism." *Theology and Science* 14, no. 1 (2016): 84–92.

Hoff, Johannes. "The Gift of Intelligence and the Sacramentality of Real Presence: Overcoming the Dataist Metaphysics of Modern Cognitivism." *Modern Theology* 40, no. 4 (October 2024): 921–947.

Jang, Jae-Ho. "Theological Issues in the Age of Artificial Intelligence: Towards 'AI Theology' for Mission." *Theology of Mission* 73 (2024): 216–251.

Jonas, Hans. *The Imperative of Responsibility: In Search of an Ethics for the Technological Age*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1984.

Kelsey, David Hugh. "Human Being." In *Christian Theology: An Introduction to Its Traditions and Tasks*, 141–167. Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1982.

Kurzweil, Ray. *The Singularity Is Near: When Humans Transcend Biology*. New York: Viking, 2005.

Langford, Michael D. "A Theological Framework for Reflection on Artificial Intelligence." In *Artificial Intelligence and Robotics Commons*, 70–94. Seattle: Seattle Pacific University, 2022.

Lints, Richard. *Personal Identity in Theological Perspective*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2006.

Lioy, Dan. "The Imago Dei: Biblical Foundations, Theological Implications, and Enduring Significance." *Verba Vitae* 1, nos. 3–4 (October 11, 2024): 45–72.

Lorrimar, Victoria. "Mind Uploading and Embodied Cognition: A Theological Response." *Zygon* 54, no. 1 (March 2019): 191–206.

Lumbreras, Sara. "Lessons from the Quest for Artificial Consciousness: The Emergence Criterion, Insight-Oriented AI, and Imago Dei." *Zygon* 57, no. 4 (2022): 963–983.

MacKay, Donald MacCrimmon. “Machines, Brains, and Persons.” *Zygon* 20, no. 4 (December 1985): 401–412.

Mann, Gary A. “Em-bodied Spirit/In-spired Matter: Against Tech-gnosticism.” In *Broken and Whole: Essays on Religion and the Body*, 119–134. Lanham, MD: University Press of America, 1995.

Maston, Jason S., and Benjamin E. Reynolds. *Anthropology and New Testament Theology*. London: Bloomsbury T & T Clark, 2018.

Meadows, Philip R. “The Gospel in Cyberspace: Reflections on Virtual Reality.” *Epworth Review* 22, no. 2 (May 1995): 53–65.

Menuge, Angus J. L., and Jonathan Loose. “Introduction to Symposium on Dualism and Physicalism.” *Philosophia Christi* 20, no. 1 (2018): 7–11.

Metzinger, Thomas. *The Ego Tunnel: The Science of the Mind and the Myth of the Self*. New York: Basic Books, 2009.

Mikoski, Gordon S. “Will There Be Anything Left for Us?” *Theology Today* 80, no. 2 (July 2023): 118–120.

Minsky, Marvin. *The Society of Mind*. New York: Simon & Schuster, 1988.

Navon, Mois A. “To Make a Mind—A Primer on Conscious Robots.” *Theology and Science* 22, no. 1 (January 2024): 221–241.

O’Donnell, Karen. “Performing the Imago Dei: Human Enhancement, Artificial Intelligence and Optative Image-Bearing.” *International Journal for the Study of the Christian Church* 18, no. 1 (2018): 4–15.

O’Mahony, Marie. *Cyborg: The Man-Machine*. London: Thames & Hudson, 2002.

Oviedo, Lluís, “Artificial Intelligence and Theology: Looking for a Positive—But Not Uncritical—Reception.” *Zygon* 57, no. 4 (2022): 938–952.

Park, Jong Hwan. “Imago Dei, Imago AI: Does Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) Bear the Image of God?” *Korean Journal of Christian Studies* 134 (2024): 253–288.

Peters, Ted. “Will Superintelligence Lead to Spiritual Enhancement?” *Religions* 13, no. 5 (2022):1–13.

Peters, Ted. “Cybertheology and the Ethical Dimensions of Artificial Superintelligence: A Theological Inquiry into Existential Risks.” Khazanah Theologia 6, no. 1 (2024): 1–12.

Puddefoot, John C. *God and the Mind Machine: Computers, Artificial Intelligence and the Human Soul*. London: SPCK, 1996.

Rice, Rebekah. “What’s So Artificial and Intelligent About Artificial Intelligence?: A Conceptual Framework for AI.” In *AI, Faith, and the Future: An Interdisciplinary Approach*, 52–69. Eugene, OR: Pickwick Publications, 2022.

Ritchie, Sarah Lane. “Integrated Physicality and the Absence of God: Spiritual Technologies in Theological Context.” *Modern Theology* 37, no. 2 (April 2021): 296–315.

Rolston, Holmes. “We Humans Are the Worst and the Best and....” *Zygon* 57, no. 1 (March 2022): 5–24.

Rossi, Pablo Federico. “Artificial Intelligence.” *The Incarnate Word* 9, no. 2 (2022): 73–87.

Russell, Stuart. *Human Compatible: Artificial Intelligence and the Problem of Control*. New York: Viking, 2019.

Russell, Stuart, and Peter Norvig. *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach*. 3rd ed. Boston: Pearson, 2010.

Samuelson, Calum. "Artificial Intelligence: A Theological Approach." *The Way* 59, no. 3 (2020): 41–50.

Searle, John R. *Minds, Brains, and Science*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1984.

Searle, John R. "Artificial Intelligence and the Study of Consciousness." In *The Human Search for Truth: Philosophy, Science, Theology: The Outlook for the Third Millennium*, 173–183. Philadelphia: Saint Joseph's University Press, 2002.

Shtulman, Andrew. "Religion as a Testing Ground for Cognitive Science." *Journal for the Cognitive Science of Religion* 7, no. 2 (2022): 200–212.

Singler, Beth. "An Introduction to Artificial Intelligence and Religion for the Religious Studies Scholar." *Implicit Religion* 20, no. 3 (2017): 215–231.

Smedes, Taede A. "Being Cyborgs: On Creating Humanity in a Created World of Technology." In *Creative Creatures: Values and Ethical Issues in Theology, Science, and Technology*, 64–71. London: T&T Clark, 2005.

Sweis, Khaldoun A. "Existing Without My Body: Technological and Spiritual Possibilities." *Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies* 25, nos. 1–2 (2013): 89–104.

Tegmark, Max. *Life 3.0: Being Human in the Age of Artificial Intelligence*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 2017.

Turing, Alan M. "Computing Machinery and Intelligence." *Mind* 59 (1950): 433–460.

Van Leeuwen, Mary Stewart. "Between Reductionism and Self-Deification: The Challenge of the Cognitive Revival." *Center Journal* 4, no. 2 (Spring 1985): 39–69.

Vestrucci, Andrea, "Artificial Intelligence and God's Existence: Intersecting Theology and Computation." *Zygon* 57, no. 4 (2022): 938–952.

Vitz, Paul C. "God, the Body, and the Good Life." *This World* 25 (Spring 1989): 91–103.

Wallach, Wendell, and Colin Allen. *Moral Machines: Teaching Robots Right from Wrong*. Oxford, UK; New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 2009.

Watanabe, Satosi. "Can the Cognitive Process Be Totally Mechanized?" In *Philosophical Aspects of the Mind-Body Problem*, 182–199. Honolulu: University Press of Hawaii, 1975.

Welsch, Wolfgang. "Postmodernism—Posthumanism—Evolutionary Anthropology." *Journal of Posthuman Studies* 1, no. 1 (2017): 75–86.

Yu, Daniel. "Proposing Theological Criteria for Evaluating the Personhood of Artificial Intelligence." *Theological Forum* 116 (2024): 49–77.