

Redemption, not Revolution

Human Flourishing through Cross-Shaped Love

Dan Lioy

Key Words

Radical contemporary critical theory (RCCT); politicized anthropological materialism; Lutheran confessional theology; doctrine of the Two Kingdoms; vocation; justification by faith alone; human identity and dignity; power and authority; Law and Gospel dialectic; social structures; proximate justice; eschatological reserve; Romans 12:1-8; 1 Corinthians 7:17-24; Matthew 22:15-22; vocational faithfulness; church and culture

Standard Abbreviations for Lutheran Sources used in Essay

AC: Augsburg Confession

AP: Apology of the Augsburg Confession

FC EP: Formula of Concord, Epitome

FC SD: Formula of Concord, Solid Declaration

LC: Large Catechism

LW: Luther's Works

SA: Smalcald Articles

SC: Small Catechism

1.0 Introduction:

Cultural Fragmentation and the Lutheran Theological Vision

TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY CULTURE IN THE Global North is profoundly fragmented in terms of identity, truth, and shared meaning. Within this fractured landscape, the Church is called not merely to proclaim Christ, but also to discern and resist alternative accounts of reality that attempt to rewrite the history of humanity apart from God. Among these competing narratives, radical contemporary critical theory (RCCT) stands out as particularly influential. More than an analytical

tool, RCCT functions as a comprehensive worldview—a rival gospel—complete with its own understanding of human nature, salvation, and ultimate destiny. The Church’s response, therefore, must be both intellectual and confessional: confronting RCCT’s claims with Scripture’s witness as faithfully preserved in the Lutheran Confessions, which ground Christian teaching in God’s Word rather than shifting human philosophies.¹

1.1 Understanding RCCT and Its Cultural Influence

Radical Contemporary Critical Theory (RCCT) designates a constellation of ideological frameworks that interpret human existence primarily through the dynamics of power. These frameworks frequently reject claims to universal or transcendent truth, privileging instead narratives constructed around group identities and systemic oppression. In this view, social and political liberation becomes the ultimate goal, and reality is framed as a perpetual contest between oppressor and oppressed.

Although RCCT resists precise definition, its most far-reaching and extreme expressions disclose a coherent conceptual orientation. Rather than functioning merely as a limited social critique, RCCT advances an alternative ontology, one that construes human existence chiefly through the prism of power relations. This reorientation has far-reaching consequences. Its soteriological aspect functions as a new law that divides and condemns, sharply contrasting with the Gospel, which presents God’s gift of restoring identity and dignity through justification by faith in Christ alone. In effect, RCCT substitutes divine revelation with human speculation, grounding its anthropology in skepticism toward transcendent truth and reducing epistemology to an expression of power.

This contrast incentivizes the warning articulated in the *Apology of the Augsburg Confession*, which distinguishes between the “righteousness of reason” (human philosophical constructs; AP IV.9) and the “righteousness of faith” (bestowed through God’s Word; AP IV.18). The *Apology* cautions that those who rely on human wisdom in place of divine revelation “obscure the glory and benefits of Christ” (AP IV.3). For this reason, the Church must recognize RCCT as more than a fleeting cultural trend. It functions as a competing belief system and an alternative soteriology, one that promises liberation through political action rather than through the redemptive work of Christ. An adequate theological response, therefore, begins by distinguishing RCCT from related movements and attending carefully to its internal complexity.

1.2 Distinguishing RCCT from Related Movements

RCCT differs significantly from classical critical theory. The Frankfurt School’s early-twentieth-century critical theorists sought to expose social and economic structures perpetuating oppression, aiming for human flourishing through rational

discourse. Despite critiquing modernity's failures, they maintained a belief in reason's capacity to discern universal norms. RCCT, however, typically rejects such universals altogether, viewing truth claims themselves as masks for power. Where classical theorists promoted reasoned dialogue, RCCT employs systematic suspicion, interpreting all social relationships as manifestations of oppression.

This shift in perspective becomes especially evident in RCCT's appropriation of intersectionality. Legal scholar Kimberlé Crenshaw originally developed intersectionality to describe how multiple social identities, such as race, gender, and class, can create compounded experiences of discrimination.² Initially a descriptive tool for understanding social complexity, moderate applications of intersectionality remain analytically insightful. Yet RCCT often radicalizes this concept, transforming it into a totalizing worldview where identity categories determine moral authority and political legitimacy. In this revolutionary turn, intersectionality moves from description to prescription, elevating group identity above our common humanity created in God's image.

1.3 Recognizing RCCT's Internal Diversity

RCCT encompasses diverse streams—feminist, queer, postcolonial, and critical race theories among others—each highlighting different dimensions of power and oppression. Some iterations function chiefly as analytical lenses, while others press toward sweeping ontological and soteriological claims. For this reason, a Lutheran response requires careful, discriminating engagement rather than blanket dismissal. This essay critiques those radical, totalizing forms that reduce truth, identity, and morality to power dynamics, even as it acknowledges genuine insights about injustice that resonate with biblical concern for the vulnerable and oppressed (Isa 1:17; Jer 22:3; Mic 6:8).

Building on this recognition, Lutheran theology affirms that original sin distorts both persons and the structures they inhabit, making institutional injustice a real and persistent problem. The Law's "mirror" exposes not only personal guilt but also social patterns of partiality and harm, while the Gospel alone provides the remedy of forgiveness and new life.³ However, when critical theories claim exclusive interpretive authority, displace God's Word, or cast political liberation as salvation, they cease to be tools and become rival theologies demanding confessional response. Thus, Christians may employ descriptive critiques to identify real wrongs while rejecting RCCT's reductionist anthropology, epistemology, and soteriology.

Accordingly, Lutheran theology can affirm legitimate concerns about injustice without conceding to RCCT's framework by grounding analysis in the Decalogue and the *imago Dei*, which confer inviolable dignity and summon advocacy for the vulnerable (Gen 1:26–27; Prov 31:8–9). Within the left-hand kingdom, Christians pursue proximate justice through ordered means (public reason, due process, and

vocational reform), while safeguarding the Church's spiritual mandate and the freedom of the Gospel (AC XVI.1–2). Repentance, restitution, and diaconal mercy accompany this witness as neighbors are served concretely in family, economy, and state (Matt 5:23–24; Acts 6:1–7). Descriptive analytical tools may be used prudently, but any ideology that reduces truth to power, collapses salvation into politics, or absolutizes identity is rejected (FC SD V.1, 27). In all cases, diagnosis and remedy remain governed by the distinctions of Law and Gospel, the Two Kingdoms, vocation (*vocatio*), and eschatological reserve.

1.4 Core Tenets:

Power, Binaries, and Deconstruction

RCCT operates as a form of politicized anthropological materialism. It reduces social structures—law, family, religion, economy, and language—to instruments of power, creating a pervasive oppressor-oppressed binary that measures personhood by one's position within hierarchies of privilege and marginalization. In contrast, the *Augsburg Confession* presents a different anthropology: all alike are conceived and born in sin (AC II.1–2),⁴ and dignity rests not on social status but on God's creative gift and Christ's redemptive work, received by faith alone (Gen 1:26–27; AC IV.1–3). Thus, identity is bestowed, not constructed by struggle, and it endures even when earthly arrangements are fallen and in need of reform.

A second emphasis within RCCT is epistemic suspicion. Claims to universal or transcendent truth are frequently treated as masks for domination, and knowledge is reduced to the play of power. Lutheran theology answers by locating truth in the external Word and the promise of the Gospel. This is the power of God for salvation, not a perspective to be negotiated (Rom 1:16; AP IV.43). The Law and the Gospel are God's instruments, not human constructs: the Law exposes sin and the Gospel bestows forgiveness and new life (FC SD V.1–2). This anchoring of truth in divine speech preserves both humility before God and courage for neighbor-love.

A third motif is deconstruction. If institutions are presumed intrinsically oppressive, justice is pursued by dismantling them. The Confessions, however, affirm the abiding goodness and permanence of God's Law (FC EP VI.1, 4; FC SD VI.1, 4, 9), and affirm the divine structures of creation and preservation that serve to restrain sin and maintain temporal existence after the Fall (AC XVI.1–2). These orders are not sacralized; they are reformable through repentance, lawful process, and vocational fidelity, even as the Gospel remains distinct from political projects (AC XXVIII.10–13).⁵ The Two Kingdoms framework to be discussed clarifies this distinction, guarding against both quietism and ideological absolutism. Taken together, these RCCT emphases—power-reductionism, binary antagonism, and deconstruction—set the stage for the cultural consequences considered next: fragmentation of identity, breakdown of neighbor-love, and the erosion of truth.

1.5 Cultural Consequences:

Fragmentation, Antagonism, and the Erosion of Truth

When RCCT migrates from theory into culture, it produces predictable and far-reaching consequences. The first and most immediate effect is the fragmentation of identity. Human beings, created in God's image (Gen 1:26–27), are reduced to a collection of group markers, where vocation gives way to categories of victimhood or privilege. In contrast, the *Apology* grounds human dignity not in social status but in Christ's redeeming work: "People receive the forgiveness of sins not on account of their own merits but freely on account of Christ, by faith in Him" (AP IV.1). Thus, identity is restored not through social positioning but through divine grace.

On the basis of RCCT's distorted view of identity, a second consequence emerges: antagonism. When every relationship is framed as a struggle for dominance, dialogue collapses into denunciation, and neighbor-love becomes impossible. Yet, Christ commands us to love our neighbor (Matt 22:39). Similarly, the *Large Catechism* teaches that we honor authorities—and by extension, our neighbors—because they are placed in their station by God, acting in his stead (LC I.141–142). This honor flows from the dignity God bestows upon humanity. RCCT, however, reimagines the neighbor as either an oppressor to resist or an ally to recruit, thereby dismantling the theological foundation for community.⁶

Ultimately, RCCT's antagonistic posture culminates in a deeper crisis: the erosion of truth itself. By portraying claims to objectivity as instruments of domination, RCCT reduces truth to a tool of narrative utility. Yet the *Apology* insists that the Gospel is no mere perspective but God's objective "promise of forgiveness of sins and justification on account of Christ" (AP IV.43), as affirmed in Romans 1:16, "For I am not ashamed of the gospel, because it is the power of God for salvation to everyone who believes." Against relativism, the Confessions anchor truth in the incarnate Word, whose reality is not contingent but transcendent and eternal (John 1:1, 14, 18; 14:6; 1 Cor 1:30).

1.6 Spiritual Implications:

The Loss of Transcendence, Hope, and Dignity

At its deepest level, RCCT effects not simply cultural disruption but spiritual desolation. By collapsing human existence into immanent struggles of power, RCCT forecloses transcendence. Eternal questions about grace, salvation, and the kingdom of God are eclipsed (Eph 3:11; Col 1:13–14; 3:2). The result is a flattened anthropology: humanity as an insatiable will-to-power, devoid of God and devoid of eternity. Against this reduction, in his *Lectures on Galatians* (LW 26:126–27), Luther emphasizes that salvation is not something humans accomplish on their own but a gracious gift from God, whereby those who are lost are justified solely for Christ's sake and received through faith.

RCCT's denial of transcendence does not remain theoretical. It generates existential despair. When the eschatological promise of a new creation is stripped away (Isa 65:17; 2 Cor 5:17; Gal 6:15; 2 Pet 3:13; Rev 21:1–5), history shrinks into an arena of endless conflict. In sharp contrast, the *Solid Declaration of the Formula of Concord* affirms that Christ's obedience and sacrifice are complete and imputed to believers (FC SD III.4). This aligns with Hebrews 10:14, "By only one sacrifice he has made perfect forever those who are being sanctified." Here the cycle of incessant strife is broken, replaced by the peace found in union with Christ (Isa 9:6–7; John 14:27; 16:33; Gal 5:22–23; Phil 4:6–7; Col 3:15).

Yet the damage does not stop at despair; RCCT also corrodes human dignity. Worth ceases to be the inalienable gift of God and becomes a fragile status conferred by a pagan ideology. In *Career of the Reformer I* (LW 31:371), Luther counters this distortion by urging that we should not harm anyone but instead show unreserved kindness and love to our "neighbor." To substitute this enduring truth with conditional recognition is not merely a social misstep but a theological desecration, that is, a denial of the *imago Dei*.

For this reason, the Church's response cannot remain on the level of critique alone; it must be explicitly theological. Lutheran teaching, with its doctrine of the Two Kingdoms, resists ideological totalization by distinguishing God's preservative rule from his redemptive reign. Within this framework, vocation emerges as God's concrete means of bestowing meaning, restoring community, and sanctifying daily life. Where RCCT corrodes truth, hope, and dignity, the living Word restores them. It grounds human life not in perpetual struggle, but in Christ's finished work and God's abiding order.⁷

2.0 The Two Kingdoms: A Lutheran Framework for Engaging Temporal Reality

THE LUTHERAN DOCTRINE OF THE TWO KINGDOMS provides a profound theological framework for navigating a world fractured by ideologies such as RCCT. Whereas RCCT construes history as a single arena of power struggles requiring revolutionary deconstruction, Lutheran theology sets forth a biblical vision of God's twofold governance of creation. This doctrine affirms God's universal sovereignty while distinguishing his redemptive reign from his preservative governance. By doing so, it resists ideological totalization and sustains Christian hope amid cultural despair.

2.1 Biblical Foundation for Dual Governance

Scripture testifies to the one God who rules through two distinct, divinely instituted realms. First, the right-hand kingdom refers to God's reign of grace, in which he governs through his Word and Spirit by forgiving sins in the Church (AC XXVIII.5,

8–9). This is the kingdom of Christ, which is “not of this world” (John 18:36) and is entered by faith alone. Within this realm, believers serve as “ambassadors for Christ” (2 Cor 5:20), bearing the Gospel and administering the Sacraments that bring salvation.

In contrast, the left-hand kingdom encompasses God’s temporal governance, whereby he orders human life through the law, reason, and civil institutions. As the *Augsburg Confession* explains, God has instituted political authority and the structures of civil life for the sake of good order and the common good (AC XVI.1–2). Scripture affirms that rulers are established by God to bring judgment on those who practice evil and to commend those who practice good (Rom 13:1–4; 1 Pet 2:13–14).

Although distinct, these two realms are not opposed but complementary. They differ in their means (Gospel vs. Law) and ends (redemption vs. preservation), yet both serve God’s unified will. The right-hand kingdom bestows eternal salvation, while the left-hand kingdom preserves temporal welfare. Both must be honored in their distinction, lest the sacred be reduced to politics or the political be sacralized (AC XXVIII.10–13). Lutherans also distinguish the Church considered *qua* assembly around Word and Sacrament (*creatura verbi*) from the Church as a visible institution with property, bylaws, and so on. The former belongs to God’s saving reign; the latter, as a voluntary association in society, operates under civil law (left-hand kingdom), even as its mission remains governed only by the Word.⁸ Having established this biblical distinction of God’s twofold governance, we now turn to its practical outworking in daily life, namely, the doctrine of vocation, through which God sustains creation.

2.2 Vocation’s Role in Temporal Governance

The doctrine of vocation gives concrete form to God’s left-hand rule and directly challenges RCCT’s reduction of social structures to instruments of oppression. Lutheran theology understands vocations as *larvæ Dei*—“masks of God”—through which he works in hidden but real ways to sustain creation. As Luther teaches, “These are the masks of God, behind which He wants to remain concealed and do all things” (*Selected Psalms III*, LW 14:114), working through parents, rulers, farmers, teachers, and all who serve in their stations. Using “orders of creation/preservation” in the Lutheran ethical sense—namely, creational structures upheld by God’s preserving will after the Fall, subject to critique and reform—avoids sacralizing fallen arrangements.⁹ Through such callings God provides justice, nourishment, education, and community. In this way, he curbs sin and upholds order amid a fallen world (AC XVI.1–2; FC SD VI.5).

In light of this, the doctrine of vocation clarifies that these everyday roles—whether a police officer preserving justice, a baker providing daily bread, or a janitor maintaining cleanliness—are instruments of God’s providential care. Yet, vocation

belongs wholly to God's preservative rule and contributes nothing to justification. Good works, though indispensable in serving others, do not secure God's unmerited favor, but unfold as the fruits of faith (AC VI.1–2; XX.27–29). By decoupling temporal duty from salvific merit, Lutheran theology liberates Christians to love their neighbors freely and joyfully, without illusions of worldly redemption. Thus, if vocation displays how God orders temporal life, the Two Kingdoms doctrine simultaneously guards against confusing such temporal service with eternal salvation. This is a safeguard especially crucial when confronting ideologies like RCCT, which collapse redemption into politics.

2.3 Theological Safeguards against Ideological Totalization

The Two Kingdoms framework resists RCCT's tendency to load politics with salvific weight by sharply distinguishing God's redemptive reign from his preservative governance. Justification is a divine gift, granted "out of grace for Christ's sake through faith" (AP IV.1), and cannot be secured by revolution, policy, or cultural programs. This distinction protects the Gospel from being collapsed into social activism and prevents society from being burdened with utopian demands. Yet it also clarifies the ways in which Luther taught Christians should labor for reform, not as would-be redeemers, but as forgiven sinners called to love their neighbors in God's left-hand kingdom (*Career of the Reformer I*, LW 31:367).

Crucial here is the third use of the Law. According to the *Formula of Concord* (FC SD VI.1–3), the Spirit employs God's Law to instruct the regenerate in what is good, especially by shaping their judgments, curbing self-deception, and directing works of love. This does not reintroduce the Law as a means of justification; rather, it supplies moral clarity for reform by unfolding God's will for life in family, economy, and state (Ps 1; Rom 12).¹⁰ In practice, this means Christians examine systems and habits by the light of the commandments, pursue remedies through lawful means (public reason, due process, and institutional responsibility), and carry out their callings as "masks of God" (Luther, *Selected Psalms III*, LW 14:114).

This framework also steers between quietism and iconoclasm. Because authority is divinely instituted for temporal good, Christians render due honor and service to rulers and offices even when they are flawed (Rom 13:1–4; AC XVI.1–2). Because all authority is limited under God, they also recognize moments when fidelity to Christ requires principled noncompliance—"we must obey God rather than men" (Acts 5:29)—and they seek reform by lawful, neighbor-serving means rather than by nihilistic dismantling (AC XVI.5–7; XXVIII.10–13). In short, the Gospel grants freedom, the third use of the Law grants guidance, and vocation grants a pathway. Together they equip Christians to resist ideological totalization while laboring for measured, hopeful reform that serves the common good without mistaking it for redemption.

3.0 Romans 12:1–8, Gospel Transformation and Embodied Service

HAVING ESTABLISHED THE LUTHERAN FRAMEWORK of the Two Kingdoms and vocation as God’s means of preservative governance, we now examine the apostolic exhortation that defines the Christian’s life of faith within this biblical framework. Romans 12:1–8 provides the essential bridge between the doctrinal mercies of the Gospel proclaimed in Romans 1–11 and their concrete embodiment in daily life. This passage presents a theologically robust alternative to RCCT, fundamentally reorienting human identity, community, and the exercise of power away from ideological struggle and toward worshipful service grounded in Christ’s atoning work.

3.1 Exegetical Foundation

Romans 12 marks a pivotal shift from *kerygma* (Gospel proclamation) to *paraenesis* (ethical exhortation), firmly anchored in the comprehensive “mercies of God” expounded throughout the preceding eleven chapters. Paul’s transitional “Therefore” (an inferential conjunction; v. 1) signals that the transformed life he describes is the only fitting response to the Gospel of justification by grace through faith apart from works of the law (Rom 3:21–28; Luther, *Lectures on Romans*, LW 25:437). Importantly, this ethical imperative arises not from legal compulsion but from Gospel freedom, demonstrating that, as the *Augsburg Confession* teaches, believers perform “good works as God has commanded” for the benefit of their neighbors and never to “earn grace before God” (AC VI.1; see Gal 5:1, 6, 13–14).

On this basis, Paul summons believers to “offer your bodies as a living sacrifice—holy and pleasing to God—which is your appropriate worship” (v. 1).¹¹ This call directly challenges any notion of a purely spiritualized or world-denying piety. True spiritual service encompasses not merely cultic observance but the concrete, bodily dedication of one’s entire existence to God (AP XXIV.26). Lutheran theology teaches that every vocation is a sacred calling from God, and that all legitimate work is a holy service commanded by him (AC XX.2, 35). Thus, every station and labor may be regarded as service rendered to God, indeed, as taking on a kind of liturgical character.

Such sacrificial living, however, cannot coexist with conformity to the world’s patterns. Therefore, Paul exhorts believers: “Do not continue to conform to the pattern of this world, but be transformed by the renewal of your mind” (Rom 12:2). This renewal, effected by the Holy Spirit through the Gospel, empowers Christians to “test and approve what is the will of God—what is good, pleasing, and perfect.” Through this empowerment, they can discern God’s will within the concrete circumstances of their earthly callings (FC SD VI.22–23). In this way, transformation stands in sharp antithesis to any worldly ideological framework, including RCCT,

which subjects the Christian conscience to patterns of perpetual struggle and hermeneutical cynicism.

Flowing from this renewed mind is a posture of humility. Paul urges believers “not to think of yourself more highly than you ought, but think in a way that results in sound judgment, as God distributed a measure of faith to each of you” (Rom 12:3). Here, Christian identity rests not on subjective self-evaluation but on the alien righteousness (*iustitia aliena*) of Christ, imputed to the believer by grace through faith alone (*sola gratia, sola fide*), which is the only sure foundation on which the justified sinner (*simul justus et peccator*) stands before God.

From this foundation flows the distribution of “different gifts, according to the grace God has given us” (v. 6). These diverse abilities—including prophecy, serving, teaching, encouraging, contributing, leadership, and showing mercy (vv. 6–8)—exist not for personal glory but for mutual edification within the body of Christ (1 Cor 12:7). This truth aligns with Lutheran teaching that the Holy Spirit works through Word and Sacraments to build up the Church for the benefit of all believers (AC V.1–2). Thus, these gifts foster organic unity within Christ’s body, where members belong to one another and exercise their various callings in complementary service to the whole (Rom 12:4–5).

3.2 Theological Implications for Addressing RCCT

Romans 12:1–8 provides a decisive theological resistance to RCCT’s foundational assumptions, offering a vision of human flourishing anchored in Gospel transformation rather than political revolution. Whereas RCCT fragments persons into competing group identities defined by power relations, Paul grounds the believer’s identity in something far more stable: the reality of being a justified sinner, declared righteous *coram Deo* (“in the presence of God” or “before the face of God”) through faith in Christ’s substitutionary atonement (Rom 3:21–26; 8:1; Luther, *Career of the Reformer IV*, LW 34:337).

Due to this truth, the forensic verdict pronounced *extra nos* (“outside of us”) by God establishes an unshakeable foundation immune to the shifting categories of oppression, privilege, or social positioning that dominate RCCT’s analytical framework. The *Solid Declaration of the Formula of Concord* emphasizes this reality, teaching that our righteousness before God by faith comes solely from his mercy in treating Christ’s righteousness as our own (FC SD III.13–16). Consequently, the “renewal of your mind” (Rom 12:2) reorients self-perception away from the interpretive prism of suspicion and toward the lens of grace. This liberates individuals from both victimhood’s tyranny and guilt’s condemnation, freeing them for genuine love and service.

Based on this renewed identity, Paul offers an alternative vision for social engagement. Whereas RCCT prescribes systemic deconstruction as the pathway

to justice, Romans 12:1–8 charts a completely different course: faithful stewardship of divine gifts within the structures of everyday life. Social transformation, according to Paul, advances not through adversarial dismantling but through the “living sacrifice” (v. 1) of vocational service—parents nurturing children, citizens upholding just laws, workers providing quality goods and services, and all Christians employing their gifts in neighbor-love (Luther, *Career of the Reformer I*, LW 31:367). This approach affirms that God sustains and blesses the world through his created orders by working preservatively. Thus, the Christian’s unique contribution to human flourishing lies not in revolutionary overthrow but in faithfully inhabiting their communities and spheres of influence.

Finally, this vocational vision reframes the very concept of power. In contrast to RCCT, which views power as a finite resource to be seized through competition, Scripture teaches that both spiritual gifts and temporal authority are entrusted to us by God. These are not possessions for personal gain but vocations to be stewarded for the common good. Romans 12:6–8 exemplifies this by listing gifts such as prophecy, service, teaching, and leadership, not as instruments of dominance, but as means of ministry. Paul’s exhortations to give generously, lead diligently, and show mercy cheerfully (vv. 7–8) redefine power as sacrificial enablement for building up the body of Christ and serving our neighbor. Consequently, the Christian model of authority, grounded in the theology of the Cross, rejects two extremes: the oppressive consolidation of power and the revolutionary seizure of power advocated by RCCT. Instead, Scripture offers a third way: authority exercised in humble, cross-shaped love (Gal 5:13–14; Luther, *Lectures on Galatians, Chapters 5–6*, LW 27:49–50). This biblical alternative to the world’s patterns of domination and resistance emphasizes that true strength is perfected in weakness for the sake of others (2 Cor 12:9).

4.0 First Corinthians 7:17–24, Calling Within Created Stations

THE CHRISTIAN LIFE IS FREQUENTLY MISUNDERSTOOD as a radical flight from worldly entanglements. Yet, in 1 Corinthians 7:17–24, Paul provides a profound corrective: believers are called not to abandon their earthly circumstances but to embrace them as the divinely appointed arena for God’s grace (John 17:15). Far from endorsing a passive, grim resignation, 1 Corinthians 7:17–24 summons a vibrant, faith-driven engagement within one’s station, grounding the believer’s identity not in transient social or economic labels but in the liberating Gospel. This section exegetes the text to extract its foundational principles, then formulates a Lutheran theological response to RCCT frameworks, demonstrating how vocation is the confessional locus of holy living.

4.1 Exegetical Analysis

Paul's emphatic directive—"each person is to live in the situation the Lord assigned to him" (1 Cor. 7:17)—anchors his pericope. The Greek term rendered "situation" denotes one's concrete social and relational position, encompassing ethnic-religious identity (circumcised or uncircumcised; vv. 18–19), socioeconomic status (slave or free; vv. 20–23), and marital state (v. 24). Paul boldly declares that God sovereignly operates within these humanly defined spheres, transforming them from arbitrary constraints into divinely appointed fields for service to one's neighbor (Luther, *1 Corinthians 7*, *1 Corinthians 15*, *Lectures on 1 Timothy*, LW 28:39). This constitutes no license for perpetuating oppression but rather pastoral consolation: union with Christ transcends external circumstances, rendering every context a platform for Gospel witness and obedience (AC XVI.1–2).

With this in view, Paul teaches that the believer's core identity in Christ establishes liberating detachment from bondage to worldly hierarchies. The apostle affirms that the slave is the "Lord's freed person" (v. 22), while the free person becomes "Christ's slave," dismantling any correlation between outward rank and spiritual dignity. This Gospel freedom—forgiveness and reconciliation secured through Christ's atoning work—remains inviolable and impervious to earthly tyranny. Such freedom fosters contentment, empowering faithful service, not as salvific merit but as its grateful overflow. Faith itself constitutes true worship, liberating believers from bondage to human rites and hierarchies. Freed from the need to prove themselves through social status, Christians work faithfully for the Lord in every task, whether they hold authority or live under it (Luther, *Career of the Reformer I*, LW 31:344–345, 365, 371).

This trajectory culminates in Paul's practical charge: "Were you a slave when you were called? Do not let it bother you. But if you are able to become free, take advantage of it" (v. 21). Here, Christian liberty emerges not as passive endurance but as purposeful deployment for kingdom ends. Freedom in Christ liberates not from service but for it, redefining liberty as voluntary, sacrificial love rather than autonomous self-sovereignty. Luther's *Small Catechism* echoes this understanding in its exposition of the Creed: God's providential care obligates us to "thank, praise, serve, and obey him" (SC II.2). Those who are called and freed through faith in union with Christ channel their emancipation into self-giving love. They perceive every situation as a divine summons to be kind and compassionate toward their immediate neighbors with urgency and precision.

4.2 Theological Response to RCCT Frameworks

A Lutheran critique of RCCT begins by affirming the diversity of human stations as intrinsic to God's benevolent creation, not merely a postlapsarian corruption

(namely, the condition of humanity after the Fall into sin, as recounted in Gen 3). The body-of-Christ metaphor in 1 Corinthians 12 demonstrates that varied functions, including gifts, roles, and authorities, foster communal flourishing and mission. Lutheran theology applies this principle to societal estates including church, household, and state, by recognizing them as divine institutions for sustaining creation and manifesting faith (Luther, *The Christian in Society I*, LW 44:129–130). RCCT’s wholesale indictment of hierarchies as inherently oppressive overlooks this creational intent: distinctions exist for interdependent service and the common good, not domination. While sin distorts God’s good gifts into self-serving ends, the Law’s abiding purpose is to guide the regenerate in God’s will for the good of the neighbor (Rom 13:8–10; FC SD VI.1, 9, 12).

Grounded in this fact, Lutheran theology insists that redemption does not abolish these structures but transforms them from within. The Gospel redeems creational orders through internal renewal rather than revolutionary dismantling. Paul neither incites slaves to revolt nor mandates masters’ immediate manumission. Instead, the apostle infuses existing relationships with grace: “And masters, do the same for your slaves. Do not threaten them, because you know that the one who is both their Master and yours is in heaven, and with him there is no favoritism” (Eph 6:9; see also Col 4:1). The *Solid Declaration of the Formula of Concord* frames this transformation as sanctification’s fruit: through Word and Sacrament, God purifies believers inwardly, enabling holy conduct within vocations (FC SD IV.7, 9). Christians thus inhabit their stations as agents of renewal, instilling justice, fidelity, and charity to reform structures organically, rather than abandoning or demolishing them in pursuit of utopian purity (AP XVI.1, 5, 13).

This vocational vision strikes at the heart of RCCT’s critique by addressing the deeper spiritual malady behind power struggles. At its theological core, Lutheran vocation doctrine counters RCCT by identifying humanity’s quest for dominance as a symptom of alienation from God. While RCCT rightly diagnoses the idolatrous pursuit of status for validation, the Gospel eradicates this impulse at its root through justification by faith alone, through grace alone, apart from works (Luther, *Lectures on Romans*, LW 25:151–52). This fundamental framework liberates Jesus’ followers from leveraging positions for self-worth. They are freed to serve humbly in any estate by exercising power without abuse and enduring lowliness with equanimity. Identity anchored in Christ transforms labor into pure neighbor-love, rather than frantic self-assertion or compulsive virtue signaling (for example, through elitist symbols, rituals, and vocabulary). Herein lies authentic revolution: not structural upheaval but heart regeneration, yielding joyful obedience within earthly callings that bear eternal significance.

Consequently, Lutheran teaching offers a constructive alternative to both extremes of social engagement. The Lutheran understanding thus provides a third

way between RCCT's revolutionary agenda and complacent traditionalism. By recognizing both the goodness of created orders and their corruption through sin, while affirming the Gospel's power to renew hearts within existing structures, this approach furnishes a robust theological framework for faithful engagement with social realities. Christians are called neither to passively accept unjust systems nor to pursue their violent overthrow, but to faithful service within their vocations that gradually transforms society through the power of the Gospel and Christian love.

5.0 Matthew 22:15–22, Dual Allegiance and Proper Authority

THE PERICOPE CONCERNING THE ROMAN IMPERIAL tax (Matt 22:15–22) constitutes more than an instance of rhetorical escape; it discloses a normative paradigm for negotiating Christian existence within the *saeculum*.¹² Against the hermeneutics of RCCT, Jesus articulates a framework that is both theologically integrative and ethically directive. His response does not abolish power but reconfigures its meaning within the doctrine of the Two Kingdoms, which distinguishes yet unites God's temporal and spiritual governance. Within this paradigm, believers inhabit a dual allegiance: they recognize and honor earthly authority as a divine ordinance, even while confessing that final and unconditioned obedience belongs to God alone (Luther, *The Christian in Society II*, 45:111-12). This dialectic not only resists ideological absolutization of power, but also grounds Christian witness amid cultural contestation.

5.1 Exegetical Framework

In Matthew 22:15–22, the Pharisees and Herodians construct their query to entrap Jesus within a lethal disjunction: affirmation of the poll tax would appear as complicity with Rome's occupation, while denial would invite accusations of sedition. This dilemma exemplifies coerced binary logic, a rhetorical trap designed to force the subject into mutually destructive options.

Jesus' response, however, subverts the trap rather than submitting to it. Displaying a denarius bearing Caesar's image, Jesus declares: "give to Caesar the things that are Caesar's, and to God the things that are God's" (Matt 22:21). With this gesture, Jesus acknowledges that temporal authority possesses a legitimate, God-ordained sphere. Civil government, which is responsible for coinage, taxation, and order, functions as a divinely instituted mechanism of preservation (Rom 13:6-7; Luther, *Lectures on Romans*, LW 25:471). To "give to Caesar" (Matt 22:21) is therefore not capitulation to tyranny but recognition that political authority, though penultimate, serves as a mask (*larva Dei*) through which God restrains sin and promotes civic peace, even when mediated through unbelievers (Luther, *Selected Psalms II*, LW 13:45-46).

Yet this concession does not grant Caesar unlimited dominion. By juxtaposing the emperor's image on the coin with the *imago Dei* borne by humanity (Gen 1:26-27),

Jesus relativizes Caesar's prerogatives and asserts that ultimate devotion—heart, soul, mind, and strength—belongs exclusively to the Creator (Deut 4:29; 6:5; 10:12; Josh 22:5; 1 Sam 12:24). Here the boundary of temporal power is sharply drawn: earthly rulers cannot invade the conscience, which is bound solely to God's Word (AC XVI. 6-7). Consequently, civic obedience, rightly ordered, becomes an act of worship when rendered in faith. The ethic that emerges is integrative: Christians embody fidelity to God precisely through faithful participation in the structures of temporal life.

5.2 Theological Implications for Power and Authority

Matthew 22:15–22 yields a distinctly Lutheran ethic of power that resists both RCCT's hermeneutic of suspicion and the perennial temptation toward political absolutism. Three implications are especially salient. First, civic rule is affirmed as divinely instituted. Government is described as "God's servant for your benefit" (Rom 13:4). Far from being reducible to coercion, human authority functions as a providential gift, curbing disorder and enabling human flourishing (Luther, *Lectures on Romans*, LW 25:468–69). As noted earlier, within Luther's theological grammar, temporal magistrates exemplify what he elsewhere calls *larvæ Dei*, that is, masks under which God preserves creation. The appropriate Christian posture toward power, therefore, is not reflexive resistance but discerning gratitude, even as obedience remains bounded by God's Word.

Given this affirmation, the second implication concerns the limits of such authority. The jurisdiction of earthly power is theologically delimited. Jesus' command to "give to Caesar" (Matt 22:21) concerns external, secular matters, such as justice, taxation, and civic peace, but not the governance of conscience or the dispensation of salvation (FC SD VI.1–2; X.9–10 on *adiaphora*).¹³ This demarcation preserves the freedom of the Gospel, securing the Church's mission from political cooptation. At the same time, it warns against sacralizing the state, guarding the believer's ultimate allegiance for God alone. Accordingly, the Church's authority is spiritual (preaching the Gospel and administering the Sacraments), while the magistrate's authority is civil; neither may usurp the other (AC XXVIII.10–13).

Finally, this framework introduces a prophetic dimension to Christian obedience. The Two Kingdoms doctrine does not sanction blind submission; rather, it embeds the principle that when rulers transgress a divine mandate, resistance becomes necessary. The apostolic declaration, "We must obey God rather than men" (Acts 5:29; see also Exod 1:17; Dan 3:16–18), articulates that civil disobedience is obligatory when compliance would entail either idolatry or iniquity. Such resistance, however, is not anarchic revolution but vocational fidelity, seeking the reform of temporal institutions in alignment with God's preserving purposes (AC XVI.5–7). Grounded in eschatological citizenship (Eph 2:19; Phil 3:20; Col 3:1–4), believers engage secular powers neither with utopian delusions nor with nihilistic despair, but with cruciform hope.

6.0 Integrated Lutheran Response to RCCT's Core Elements

ANY LUTHERAN RESPONSE TO RCCT MUST BEGIN with a methodological clarification. The aim is not a piecemeal negation of discrete claims but the articulation of a rival “social imaginary,” to borrow Charles Taylor’s terminology. This refers to a comprehensive vision of how human beings exist before God, in community, and within creation.¹⁴ RCCT functions as a totalizing worldview, offering a politicized anthropology, a theory of power, and even a quasi-soteriology. Lutheran theology does not merely rebut these claims at the level of content; it displaces them at the level of framework by setting forth an alternative grammar of reality. This grammar is ordered around justification, vocation, and the doctrine of the Two Kingdoms. Together these emphases form an integrated vision that reframes identity, power, justice, and hope in terms of God’s creative and redemptive action, thereby resisting the totalizing pressures of ideological struggle.

6.1 Human Identity and Dignity

As noted earlier, RCCT constructs identity as a contingent social artifact, reducible to group positionality within structures of oppression. This politicized anthropology fragments the self, leaving dignity hostage to shifting categories of victimhood or privilege. In contrast to this instability, Lutheran theology offers a more enduring ontology. Human identity is constituted not by mutable social scripts but by the forensic event of justification by faith alone (*sola fide*), whereby the sinner is declared righteous *coram Deo* on account of Christ (FC SD III.4). This pronouncement yields the paradoxical identity of *simul iustus et peccator*—at once condemned under the Law and absolved by the Gospel yet secure in Christ’s alien righteousness imputed *extra nos* (Luther, *Lectures on Galatians*, Chapters 1–4, LW 26:231-236).

Out of this theological foundation flows a distinct understanding of dignity. It is grounded in the *imago Dei* bestowed at creation (Gen 1:26–27), renewed in Christ through the Gospel (Col 3:10), and secured by God’s promise in justification and baptism, rather than by human recognition (Luther, *Lectures on Romans*, LW 25:312). Consequently, the practical outworking of this identity is vocation, wherein believers serve neighbors not to achieve dignity but because it has already been given. Here, God works in hidden ways through ordinary human vocations to preserve and govern creation (Ps 147:13–14; Col 3:23–24).

6.2 Agency, Power, and Social Change

RCCT interprets agency through a revolutionary horizon, where authentic action means resisting or dismantling structures presumed to be irredeemably oppressive. Within this interpretive construct, power is conceived as inherently coercive and zero-sum, something to be either seized or subverted. In contrast, the Lutheran alternative offers a markedly different vision, grounding agency in the doctrine of the Two Kingdoms.

This framework distinguishes God's preservative governance from his redemptive reign, affirming that temporal institutions (such as family, state, and economy) are not inherently oppressive but divinely instituted for the ordering of creation and the restraint of sin (Rom 13:1–4; 1 Pet 2:13–14; Luther, *The Catholic Epistles*, 30:73–74).

From this perspective, power is not ontologically corrupt but vocationally oriented toward service. Christian agency, therefore, consists in the faithful exercise of office: rulers judging justly, parents nurturing children, and workers laboring honestly. Rather than revolutionary negation, structural reform emerges through vocational fidelity and evangelical love, which supply the resources for measured critique and constructive participation. The Confessions explicitly reject disorderly upheaval (AC XVI.5–7), while delimiting both ecclesial and civil authority (AC XXVIII.4, 10–13, 18) and safeguarding Christian freedom in *adiaphora* when conscience is threatened (FC SD X.8–9; Acts 5:29).

6.3 Justice and Love

For RCCT, justice is an abstract, systemic ideal achieved by redistributing resources and power, often through antagonistic struggle between groups. Love, if invoked at all, is subordinated to this revolutionary *telos* (namely, the overarching purpose of an insurrectionary movement), functioning primarily as solidarity within the cause.¹⁵ In contrast, Lutheran theology offers a fundamentally different vision, one that steadfastly refuses to separate justice from love or to abstract either from the tangible reality of a neighbor's existence. Christ summarizes the Law in the command to love one's neighbor (Lev 19:18; Matt 22:39; Rom 13:9; Gal 5:14; 6:2), and the Confessions insist that faith is never idle but active in love through good works prepared by God (FC EP IV.6, 8; Eph 2:8–10; Jas 2:14–18; 1 John 3:18).

This inseparability of justice and love anchors their expression in ordinary life rather than utopian abstraction. Justice is therefore enacted in the daily fidelity of vocation by raising children, governing justly, working honestly, and caring for the weak. These works, though not meritorious before God (AC VI.1–2), are indispensable for the neighbor and constitute the primary site of divine justice in the temporal realm. Such a framework resists both quietist complacency and revolutionary absolutism, charting a distinct path. Christians labor for justice precisely by inhabiting their callings faithfully, while refusing to conflate temporal equity with eschatological salvation.

6.4 Hope and Eschatology

RCCT advances what may be described as an immanentized eschatology (that is, made worldly or earthly rather than transcendent). It is the belief that history itself, through perpetual critique and systemic transformation, can secure redemption. This vision loads temporal politics with salvific weight and defines opposition to the cause as resistance to history's *telos* (namely, the perceived inevitable or divinely

ordained direction of history). By contrast, Lutheran theology locates ultimate hope elsewhere. Eschatological fulfillment is not realized in historical progress but in the return of Christ and the resurrection of the dead (Rom 8:18–25; 1 Cor 15; Luther, *Lectures on Romans*, LW 25:362-64).

This future-oriented hope, affirmed in the confessions (FC SD I.46; II.87; VI.24; VIII.26), reorients the believer's posture toward the present. It liberates Christians from the idolatrous demand to build heaven on earth, freeing them for faithful yet imperfect service in the meantime. Christian labor for justice and mercy is therefore undertaken as provisional stewardship, dignified but not divinized. Temporal projects are relativized before the eschaton: necessary but penultimate, meaningful yet incomplete.¹⁶ In this way, Lutheran eschatology sustains both realism and hope, enabling vigorous engagement without utopian delusion in a fallen world awaiting its consummation in Christ.¹⁷

7.0 Addressing Legitimate Concerns and Historical Misuse

A LUTHERAN RESPONSE TO RCCT MUST MOVE beyond mere rebuttal to include self-examination under Scripture and the Confessions. Such examination acknowledges past complicities, names confessional safeguards, and locates Christian agency in vocation within God's twofold rule. Crucially, eschatological reserve is not passive acceptance of injustice. It rejects both the paralysis of quietism and the fever of utopianism. Because redemption is Christ's work alone (right-hand kingdom), believers neither divinize political projects nor despair of temporal goods. Because God preserves the world through law and civil office (left-hand kingdom), Christians are obligated to pursue proximate justice here and now.

Accordingly, eschatological reserve means realistic engagement without utopian expectations: seeking measured reform through one's callings; honoring legitimate authority while recognizing principled limits to obedience when it commands what God forbids or forbids what he commands; resisting evil with lawful, neighbor-serving means; and refusing to sacralize any order or to abolish order as such. It treats temporal achievements as penultimate yet meaningful (worthy of labor, sacrifice, and patient hope), while steadfastly refusing to collapse salvation into politics or to confuse political failure with the failure of the Gospel. Thus, Christians work for justice neither as would-be redeemers nor as resigned spectators, but as forgiven sinners set free to love their neighbors within the constraints of a fallen world, awaiting the consummation that only Christ will bring.

7.1 Acknowledging Historical Distortions

The Lutheran tradition itself recognizes that the orders of creation and preservation are not immune to distortion but stand under the ambivalence of sin. Consequently,

the Pauline command of civic obedience (Rom 13:1) has, at times, been pressed into service for tyrannical legitimation. The estates are meant to function as masks of God (*larvae Dei*) through which he sustains the world. However, this concept has been twisted to make oppression appear holy, whether to defend absolute power and slavery, or to turn the idea of vocation into a tool for hierarchical control.

Such distortions, however, do not represent the faithful unfolding of Lutheran theology but rather its betrayal. The Confessions explicitly anticipate this danger. The *Augsburg Confession* condemns the confusion of the Gospel with civil upheaval (AC XVI.4–7) and rebukes coercive spiritual claims that “ensnare consciences” (AC XXVIII.49). At the heart of this corrective lies a crucial doctrinal distinction: the divine institution of office (*Amt*) versus its sinful exercise (*usus*) by fallible humans. While the authority of any office exists for the neighbor’s welfare, the corruption of those who hold office demands prophetic correction. True vocation, therefore, cannot be reduced merely to the possession of authority; it is fundamentally an obligation to serve the neighbor in Christlike love (Luther, *Lectures on Romans*, LW 25:475).

7.2 Lutheran Confessional Correctives

Three correctives emerge from the confessional tradition that safeguard vocation against distortion. The first corrective underscores that vocation excludes passive acquiescence. The Fourth Commandment binds authority to responsibility: parental, magisterial, and economic offices carry obligations toward nurture, justice, and equity (Luther, *Devotional Writings II*, LW 43:15–16; Jer 22:13; Rom 13:4; Eph 6:4). The neglect or inversion of these obligations is not merely an ethical lapse but a vocational nullification.

Based on this, the second corrective insists that vocation entails limits to obedience. Luther articulates a theology of resistance that is neither anarchic nor individualistic but derivative of the divine mandate (Luther, *The Christian in Society II*, LW 45:125–26). When authority commands *contra Deum*, believers must “obey God rather than men” (Acts 5:29). This is not revolutionary voluntarism but vocational fidelity: the judge must render justice, the citizen must witness to truth, and the pastor must proclaim the Word, precisely in defiance of distorted authority.

Finally, the third corrective holds together stability and reform. Lutheran theology maintains the dialectic of order and renewal: the orders of creation/preservation provide stability for human community, while the Gospel supplies both freedom and obligation for their continual purification. Thus, the *Apology* upholds obedience to lawfully called magistrates (AP XVI.1–3), even as it liberates believers to reform institutions in service of neighbor-love (AP XVI.5–6). This dialectic is governed by eschatological reserve: temporal justice, though real and necessary, remains penultimate, while ultimate redemption is eschatological, secured by Christ alone (AP XVII.1).

7.3 Contemporary Application

This confessional grammar enables engagement with RCCT on both critical and constructive grounds. Lutheran theology concurs with RCCT's identification of entrenched structures of domination as manifestations of systemic sin. At the same time, Lutheran theology resists RCCT's totalizing suspicion of all structures as intrinsically oppressive. For Lutheranism, structures are ambivalent: divinely instituted, fallen in execution, and perpetually in need of reformation.

In light of this understanding, Lutheran theology locates the pursuit of justice within the framework of vocation. Christians engage the world not by abandoning structures but by reforming them from within. The magistrate legislates with equity, the business leader reforms exploitative practices, and the police officer upholds justice with proportionality. These are not concessions to secular realms but enactments of the admonition in Romans 12:1 to "offer your bodies as a living sacrifice—holy and pleasing to God." In this way, ordinary offices become sacred spaces for divine service (Luther, *Lectures on Romans*, LW 25:433).

Yet this vocational pursuit is tempered by the theology of eschatological reserve. Because sin persists, the hope of a perfected, utopian order is foreclosed, and the advent of God's eternal kingdom cannot be secured through human projects of self-fashioned immortality. This recognition guards against two extremes: the political absolutism latent in RCCT and the opposite danger of resignation and despair. Within this eschatological tension, vocation expresses a Lutheran theology of proximate justice: the pursuit of temporal goods under the sign of the Cross, animated by the Gospel and oriented toward the neighbor, yet without conflating such provisional efforts with the redemptive finality that belongs to God alone.

Consequently, the doctrine of vocation, refracted through a Lutheran confessional lens, offers a more theologically robust and pastorally realistic response to systemic injustice than either RCCT or its reactionary dismissals. The doctrine of vocation acknowledges structural sin without collapsing into cynicism, affirms institutional stability without sanctioning domination, and enacts reform without mistaking it for redemption. In this way, Lutheran teaching equips the Church for engagement with the world's brokenness through a theology that is at once realistic, critical, and hopeful. It is anchored in the dialectical tension of Law and Gospel and sustained by the eschatological horizon of Christ's everlasting reign.

8.0 Conclusion: Gospel Freedom for Faithful Engagement

THE CHURCH'S CONFRONTATION WITH RCCT is not an abstract academic dispute but a collision of rival eschatologies. RCCT does not merely supply analytic tools; it advances a comprehensive worldview with religious contours. It re-conceives human

identity primarily through categories of power, redefines moral evil as structural inequity, promises liberation through systemic deconstruction, and locates salvation within the unfolding of historical processes. Its politicized anthropology is reductionistic, its soteriology immanent, and its eschatology utopian. By contrast, Lutheran theology confesses a rival social imaginary grounded in the external Word and the concrete mercy of God in Christ. In the place of self-redemption through critique, it proclaims justification through faith alone. Also, in the place of perpetual revolution, Lutheran theology offers the freedom of vocation. Moreover, in the place of utopian expectation, it holds the sure hope of the resurrection.

8.1 Synthesis of the Lutheran Theological Framework

The Lutheran theological response to RCCT unfolds through three interlocking doctrinal premises that together expose RCCT's distortions while offering a constructive alternative.

8.1.1 THE TWO KINGDOMS DOCTRINE: RESISTING SOTERIOLOGICAL COLLAPSE

RCCT collapses eschatology into politics by demanding absolute commitment to projects of systemic liberation. The Lutheran doctrine of the Two Kingdoms dismantles this conflation. In the right-hand kingdom, God rules through his Word and Spirit, granting eternal salvation through Christ alone. In the left-hand kingdom, God rules through law, reason, and civil authority to preserve order in a fallen world. This distinction guards against two errors: it prevents the Gospel from being reduced to a political program, and it resists the idolatry of absolutizing temporal projects. Civil governance serves the temporal good of peace and justice, but true and enduring justification "by faith" (Rom 5:1) comes only through union with Christ. Accordingly, the Lutheran framework rejects RCCT's demand that redemption be achieved through revolutionary social transformation.

8.1.2 THE DOCTRINE OF VOCATION: REFRAMING HUMAN IDENTITY AND AGENCY

While RCCT often reduces social structures to mechanisms of oppression, Lutheran theology views them as divinely instituted "masks" through which God sustains his creation. Scripture calls believers to offer their bodies as "living sacrifices" (Rom 12:1), to remain faithful in the vocations God has assigned (1 Cor 7:17–24), and to submit to legitimate authority (Matt 22:21). Through vocations such as parenting, governing, teaching, or laboring, God channels his gifts of justice, provision, and community. Vocation reframes human agency not as self-assertion in power struggles, but as self-giving service, animated by faith operating through love (Gal 5:6). Ordinary work, though humble in appearance, becomes God's chosen means to uphold the world. This perspective challenges RCCT's secular anthropology: human identity is not forged through conflict but received as a gift from God in Christ and lived out in callings marked by love.

8.1.3 CONFESSIONAL WISDOM: CONFRONTING SIN WITHOUT COLLAPSING INTO DESPAIR

RCCT correctly recognizes that oppression and the abuse of power are deeply woven into human history. Yet RCCT ultimately offers no path to genuine reconciliation, instead perpetuating a cycle of conflict and division. In contrast, Lutheran theology, being rooted in Scripture and the Lutheran Confessions, presents a more comprehensive framework. It acknowledges the reality of systemic sin, evident in the fallen structures of human society, while rejecting the utopian delusion that human effort alone can eradicate it. The Confessions affirm that temporal authorities, though ordained by God, are susceptible to corruption and misuse (Rom 13:1–4). At the same time, the Confessions insist that Christians, liberated by the Gospel, must obey God rather than human authorities when the latter contradict his Word (Acts 5:29).

This theological realism naturally shapes a cruciform posture. Christians recognize sin's persistent grip on the world without succumbing to despair, trusting instead in God's preserving and renewing grace. From this stance flows the pursuit of proximate justice (faithful yet imperfect efforts to reflect God's will in society), while avoiding the error of confusing such work with the ultimate redemption found only in Christ. Here lies a decisive contrast: whereas RCCT fosters an unending cycle of suspicion and cynicism, Lutheran theology proclaims reconciliation through Christ's atoning sacrifice on the cross, where the dividing wall of hostility is torn down (Eph 2:14). Anchored in this Gospel hope, Christians engage the world with confidence, offering forgiveness, renewal, and the promise of true unity in Christ.

8.2 A Vision for Faithful Christian Living

RCCT frames the Christian with a false binary: either one accepts unjust structures through quiet acquiescence, or one embraces revolutionary struggle as the only path to liberation. Both options rest on a misdiagnosis of the human problem. Lutheran theology cuts through this distortion with the Gospel. Sin is not merely embedded in systems but rooted in the human heart (Jer 17:9–10; Rom 3:23), and liberation is not won by human activism but received as God's gift in Christ (John 8:32; Gal 2:4; 5:1).

With this groundwork laid, Lutheran theology offers a radically different vision of Christian engagement. This doctrinal perspective frees believers from both naïve accommodation and utopian militancy. The justified Christian neither baptizes corrupt structures nor demands their eradication. Rather, faith works through love in the concrete callings God has assigned. In this way, Lutheran theology replaces RCCT's politics of cynicism with a vocation of trust. Christians are freed to serve within their stations, correcting what is unjust, preserving what is good, and bearing suffering when necessary for Christ's sake.

Such a perspective naturally reshapes how transformation is understood. Instead of lionizing dramatic revolutionary acts, as RCCT often does, Lutheran theology emphasizes what might be called the liturgy of daily life. The New Testament locates

true transformation in ordinary, faithful service. The renewal of the mind (Rom 12:2) enables believers to discern their God-given vocations—whether teaching children, governing justly, or crafting goods—as acts of living sacrifice (v. 1). Thus, all divinely ordained institutions and endeavors that align with God’s sovereign will are deemed pleasing in his sight. Culture, then, is not transformed through disruptive upheaval but rather through the quiet, steadfast influence of vocational faithfulness, serving as the “salt of the earth” (Matt 5:13).

Finally, this vocational vision is sustained by a hope that RCCT cannot offer. RCCT’s utopian dream collapses under its own weight, since no human immortality project can deliver the redemption it promises. Christian hope, by contrast, rests entirely on Christ’s finished work and his promised return. This eschatological orientation releases the Church from the impossible burden of constructing heaven on earth. Christians labor for justice, not because success is inevitable, but because the Messiah has already triumphed over Satan, sin, and death. Thus, Gospel hope grounds engagement in joy and perseverance, rather than in the despair that follows RCCT’s cycle of perpetual struggle.

8.3 A Call to Vocational Faithfulness

RCCT insists that identity is determined by intersectional categories and social positioning within power structures. Such a politicized anthropology condemns the self to instability, forever defined by shifting cultural narratives. In contrast, Lutheran theology unmasks this as a counterfeit gospel, offering a radically different foundation for identity. The Christian’s identity is secure *coram Deo*, tethered to the forensic righteousness of Christ received by faith alone. Because the baptized belong to Christ, they are liberated from the compulsion to validate themselves or to construct an identity through struggle. Gospel freedom enables Christians to serve their neighbors not for self-justification, but as a grateful response to mercy already received.

This difference in anthropology naturally leads to divergent visions of transformation. RCCT locates renewal in systemic overthrow, yet it produces only exhaustion and discord. Lutheran theology, by contrast, locates transformation in the faithful fulfillment of vocation. Culture flourishes when magistrates govern with equity, parents raise children in the “training and instruction of the Lord” (Eph 6:4), and citizens pursue the common good. The leaven of the Gospel works gradually within these structures, reforming from within rather than coercing from without. True renewal comes not through militant ideological conquest but through ordinary faithfulness, hidden under the scandal of the Cross.

Underlying these competing visions is a deeper disagreement about the nature of power. RCCT presents power as the only currency of human relations, rendering suspicious the permanent posture of society. The Lutheran witness counters with embodied love. The Church’s testimony is not only in proclamation but in the quality

of Christian life: sacrificial service, steadfast integrity, and fidelity to ordinary duties. Such lives disarm suspicion by manifesting the reality of a kingdom not built on domination but on unconditional, sacrificial service (Matt 20:25–28; Mark 10:42–45).

Given these contrasts, the Church faces an inflection point. It must refuse two false temptations: ideological accommodation, which baptizes RCCT's categories in the name of relevance, and sectarian retreat, which abandons the world to its own devices. The alternative is cruciform engagement: believers embracing their callings with confidence, knowing that through such ordinary vocations, God continues his preserving and renewing work. In this way, Lutheran vocational theology not only resists RCCT but proclaims a truer vision of human flourishing, one grounded in grace, animated by love, and directed toward the hope of Christ's coming eternal kingdom.

Dan Lioy is Professor of Biblical Studies at ILT Christ School of Theology. He holds the Ph.D. from North-West University (South Africa) and is a teaching pastor at Our Savior's Lutheran Church (NALC) in Salem, Oregon.

Notes

1. Here, Scripture is the sole, divinely inspired source of revelation and therefore the ultimate authority—the “norming norm”—by which all doctrine must be tested. The Lutheran Confessions, collected in the *Book of Concord*, do not add to Scripture but faithfully summarize its central teachings, above all the Gospel of justification by grace through faith. As the “normed norm,” they are a subordinate standard that derives its authority from Scripture and remains subject to it. In this role, the Confessions provide a trustworthy interpretive framework, guiding the Church in correctly understanding and applying God's Word while always remaining accountable to its supreme inspiration and authority.
2. See Kimberlé Crenshaw, “Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory and Antiracist Politics,” *University of Chicago Legal Forum* 1989, no. 1 (1989): 139–167, <https://chicagounbound.uchicago.edu/uclf/vol1989/iss1/8>, Accessed March 22, 2026.; Kimberlé Crenshaw, “Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence Against Women of Color,” *Stanford Law Review* 43, no. 6 (1991): 1241–1299, <https://blogs.law.columbia.edu/critique1313/files/2020/02/1229039.pdf>, Accessed March 22, 2026.; Kimberlé Crenshaw, “Kimberlé Crenshaw on Intersectionality, More than Two Decades Later,” *Columbia Law School*, June 8, 2017. <https://www.law.columbia.edu/news/archive/kimberle-crenshaw-intersectionality-more-two-decades-later>, Accessed March 22, 2026.
3. In *Lectures on Romans*, LW 25:291, 336, Luther explains original sin as the radical corruption of all human powers. In turn, the Law reveals this corruption, driving the lost to Christ. Also, in *Lectures on Galatians, 1535, Chapters 1–4*, LW 26:126, Luther discusses the Law's accusatory function (*lex semper accusat*) of exposing sin and the Gospel as the only source of righteousness and life (Gal 2:16). All references and quotes from Luther are taken from Martin Luther, *Luther's Works*, American Edition, vols. 1-30, ed. Jaroslav Pelikan (St. Louis: Concordia Publishing House, 1955-76); vols. 31-55, ed. Helmut Lehmann (Philadelphia/Min-

- neapolis: Muhlenberg Press/Fortress Press, 1956-86); vols. 56-82, ed. Christopher Boyd Brown and Benjamin T. G. Mayes (St. Louis: Concordia Publishing House, 2009–). Hereafter LW.
4. All quotes from the Lutheran Confessions are from Robert Kolb and Timothy J. Wengert, eds. *The Book of Concord: The Confessions of the Evangelical Lutheran Church*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2000.
 5. Admittedly, these orders—good in origin yet now operating within the Fall—are subject to sin’s corruption. They are descriptive, not sacralizing; provisional, accountable to God’s Word, and open to critique, restraint, and reform in service to the neighbor; they never legitimate injustice nor collapse the Gospel into politics.
 6. This antagonistic framework is perhaps most visible in higher education. Here, discourse has shifted from reasoned debate to a moralized binary of oppressor versus oppressed, assigning collective guilt or innocence based on group identity. Within this interpretive grid, denouncing designated oppressor groups becomes not rhetorical excess but an ideological imperative. RCCT expands Marx’s class-based antagonism into intersectional categories (such as race, gender, and sexuality), thereby casting opponents as inherently malevolent and therefore legitimate objects of contempt. Because antagonism functions as the organizing principle of solidarity, appeals to civility or shared humanity are dismissed as complicity with systemic oppression. In the Global North, this campus-driven ethos of suspicion has now permeated broader cultural and political spheres, where denunciation increasingly replaces substantive dialogue, eroding the constructive exchange essential to the common good.
 7. At key points in the discourse that follows, essential terms and central theological concepts are intentionally revisited to foster deeper understanding. This repetition is not incidental but deliberate, for what is at stake is nothing less than the faithful proclamation of the Gospel and the Church’s clear witness to Christ’s mission in a world increasingly shaped by RCCT.
 8. Alongside God’s twofold governance, Scripture speaks of the Devil’s parasitic, rebel dominion (John 12:31; 2 Cor 4:4; Eph 1:21; 2:2; 3:10; 6:12; Col 1:13; 1 John 5:19). Satan’s primary objective is corrupting God’s left-hand kingdom and subverting his right-hand kingdom through several key strategies. First, the Devil sows confusion about the proper law-gospel distinction by blurring the boundary between God’s condemning law and his saving gospel (Rom 3:20–22; Gal 2:16). Second, Satan obscures the relationship between the kingdom of law (temporal order) and the kingdom of grace (spiritual realm; Rom 7:12; Eph 2:8–9). Third, the Devil attempts to conflate the common kingdom—including arts, economics, and government—with the redemptive kingdom, leading to false expectations that earthly institutions can provide spiritual salvation (Matt 6:33; Phil 3:20). Fourth, Satan promotes religious fanaticism that infiltrates civil government, using state power to establish theocratic rule through violence (Matt 26:52; John 18:10–11). Fifth, the Devil encourages the state to overstep its bounds by asserting authority over conscience, faith, and salvation, often through ideological movements that use religious language to promote secular absolutism (Dan 3:16–18; Acts 5:29). Through these tactics, Satan attempts to destroy the proper distinction between God’s two kingdoms, ultimately undermining both temporal order and spiritual truth (2 Cor 11:13–15).
 9. See Luther’s *Lectures on Genesis: Chapters 1–5* (LW 1:103–104), where he interprets Genesis 2 as showing that God instituted three divinely ordered “estates” or “orders” in creation: the church (or worship), the household, and government.
 10. Even in believers, the Law never ceases to accuse the old Adam (the sinful nature that remains), including when the Law serves its pedagogical function to guide the new man (the renewed self in Christ). The Law’s accusatory function remains primary and perpet-

ual, continually exposing sin and driving all people—including the regenerate—to Christ for forgiveness and comfort. While the Law’s third use as a guide for Christian living is beneficial for believers, it always remains subordinate to the Gospel and never replaces the Gospel’s central role in the Christian life. The Law cannot produce righteousness or sanctification. Rather, sanctification flows from the Spirit’s work through the Gospel alone, not through human effort or moral achievement (Rom 3:20; Gal 3:24–25).

11. The Greek *logikē latreia* can mean either “spiritual” or “reasonable/appropriate” service. The point stands either way: worship embraces embodied, vocational life under the Gospel.
12. *Saeculum* refers to the secular, temporal world, the sphere of human history, society, and civil authority, which exists in parallel and often in tension with the eternal, sacred realm of God.
13. *Adiaphora* refers to practices or beliefs that Scripture neither commands nor forbids, making them matters of Christian freedom rather than moral or doctrinal necessity. Christians may observe or not observe these practices without sin, as they are considered indifferent to salvation.
14. Charles Taylor’s concept of the “social imaginary” denotes the shared, often implicit understanding that underlies a society’s collective practices. It encompasses how people envision their social existence by shaping norms, expectations, and a sense of legitimacy. Unlike a formal theory, the social imaginary operates as an intuitive framework, communicated through stories, images, and myths. This shared background makes everyday practices, such as voting or participating in markets, feel meaningful and purposeful by providing a common sense of their role in society. Ultimately, the social imaginary forms a deep, pre-theoretical foundation that binds a community together, making its social order appear natural and coherent. For an incisive discussion of these views, see Charles Taylor, “Modern Social Imaginaries,” *Public Culture* 14, no. 1 (2002): 91–124. <https://doi.org/10.1215/08992363-14-1-91>. Accessed March 25, 2026. See also, Charles Taylor, *Modern Social Imaginaries* (Durham, NC; London, UK: Duke University Press, 2004).
15. *Telos*, from the Greek noun meaning “end” or “goal,” designates the ultimate purpose toward which a process, action, or form of life is ordered. In Aristotelian philosophy, it signifies not merely a terminal point but the intrinsic end that gives coherence and intelligibility to an entity’s development. In broader contexts, *telos* often carries connotations of a higher or even sacred purpose, orienting practices, institutions, and beliefs toward a definitive and transformative fulfillment.
16. Lutheran theology distinguishes between two orders of reality: the “penultimate” and the “ultimate.” The ultimate refers to the eschaton, namely, the final, perfected state of God’s eternal kingdom established at Christ’s return. The penultimate encompasses everything that precedes this, including the temporal, created world and its necessary goods, such as culture, justice, and community. While all that is penultimate possess inherent value, it remains incomplete and finds its full meaning only in light of the ultimate reality to be established at the end of the age.
17. From a Lutheran perspective, attempts to “build heaven on earth” reflect a dangerously over-realized eschatology that collapses the essential biblical tension between the kingdom of God’s present reality and its future consummation. Lutheran theology maintains that because humanity remains fundamentally corrupted by original sin (*peccatum originale*), all human endeavors, however well-intentioned, are tainted by iniquity and cannot achieve the perfect justice, peace, and righteousness that will only be realized through Jesus’ second advent and the establishment of his everlasting reign.

Select Bibliography for Further In-Depth Study

- Anderson, Ryan T. *When Harry Became Sally: Responding to the Transgender Moment*. New York: Encounter Books, 2018.
- Arand, Charles P. *That I May Be His Own: An Overview of Luther's Catechisms*. St. Louis: Concordia Publishing House, 2000.
- Barth, Karl. *Church Dogmatics III.1: The Doctrine of Creation*. Edinburgh, UK: T&T Clark, 1958.
- Barth, Karl. *Church Dogmatics III.2: The Doctrine of Creation*. Edinburgh, UK: T&T Clark, 1960.
- Bayer, Oswald. *Martin Luther's Theology: A Contemporary Interpretation*. Translated by Thomas H. Trapp. Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 2008.
- Benne, Robert. *Ordinary Saints: An Introduction to the Christian Life*. 2nd ed. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2003.
- Berkouwer, G. C. *Man: The Image of God*. Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1962.
- Bonhoeffer, Dietrich. *Ethics*. Edited by Clifford J. Green. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2005.
- Chemnitz, Martin. *Loci Theologici*. Translated by J. A. O. Preus. 2 vols. St. Louis: Concordia Publishing House, 1989.
- Collins, Nate. *All But Invisible: Exploring Identity Questions at the Intersection of Faith, Gender, and Sexuality*. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 2017.
- Cooper, Brittney. "Intersectionality." In *The Oxford Handbook of Feminist Theory*, edited by Lisa Disch and Mary Hawkesworth, 385–406. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199328581.013.20>. Accessed March 25, 2026.
- Crenshaw, Kimberlé. "Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory and Antiracist Politics." *University of Chicago Legal Forum* 1989, no. 1 (1989): 139–167.
- Crenshaw, Kimberlé. "Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence against Women of Color." *Stanford Law Review* 43, no. 6 (July 1991): 1241–1299.
- Crenshaw, Kimberlé. "Kimberlé Crenshaw on Intersectionality, More than Two Decades Later." *Columbia Law School*, June 8, 2017. <https://www.law.columbia.edu/news/archive/kimberle-crenshaw-intersectionality-more-two-decades-later>. Accessed March 25, 2026.
- Dahms, Harry F. "Critical Theory in the Twenty-First Century: The Logic of Capital between Classical Social Theory, the Early Frankfurt School Critique of Political Economy, and the Prospect of Artifice." In *Critical Theory in the Twenty-First Century*, edited by Harry F. Dahms, 1–48. Current Perspectives in Social Theory, vol. 34. Bingley, UK: Emerald Group Publishing Limited, 2016.
- Elert, Werner. *The Structure of Lutheranism*. Translated by Walter A. Hansen. St. Louis: Concordia Publishing House, 1962.

- Fleming, Paul, and Cecilia Sebastian. "Introduction: Critical Theory after Frankfurt." *New German Critique* 52, no. 2 (2025): 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1215/0094033X-11793013>. Accessed March 25, 2026.
- Forde, Gerhard O. *Theology Is for Proclamation*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1990.
- Garlitz, Dustin, and Joseph Zompetti. "Critical Theory as Post-Marxism: The Frankfurt School and Beyond." *Educational Philosophy and Theory* 55, no. 2 (2023): 141–148. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2021.1876669>. Accessed March 25, 2026.
- Gassmann, Günther, and Scott Hendrix. *Fortress Introduction to the Lutheran Confessions*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1999.
- Grenz, Stanley J. *The Social God and the Relational Self: A Trinitarian Theology of the Imago Dei*. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2001.
- Hoekema, Anthony A. *Created in God's Image*. Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1986.
- Jenson, Robert W. *Systematic Theology*. 2 vols. New York: Oxford University Press, 1997–1999.
- Kilner, John F. *Dignity and Destiny: Humanity in the Image of God*. Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 2015.
- Kolb, Robert, and Charles P. Arand. *The Genius of Luther's Theology: A Wittenberg Way of Thinking for the Contemporary Church*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2008.
- Kolb, Robert, and Timothy J. Wengert, eds. *The Book of Concord: The Confessions of the Evangelical Lutheran Church*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2000.
- Lazareth, William H. *Christians in Society: Luther, the Bible, and Social Ethics*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2001.
- Lioy, Dan. "A Pauline Response to the Myth of the Autonomous, Normative Self." *Verba Vitae* 1, no. 1–2 (2024): 53–65.
- Lioy, Dan. "The Imago Dei: Biblical Foundations, Theological Implications, and Enduring Significance." *Verba Vitae* 1, no. 3–4 (2024): 45–72.
- Lioy, Dan. "Embodied Souls: Exploring Human Personhood in the Age of AI." *Verba Vitae* 2, no. 1 (2025): 31–62.
- Lohse, Bernhard. *Martin Luther's Theology: Its Historical and Systematic Development*. Translated by Roy A. Harrisville. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1999.
- Luther, Martin. *Luther's Works*, American Edition. Vols. 1-30, edited by Jaroslav Pelikan. St. Louis: Concordia Publishing House, 1955-1976. Vols. 31-55, edited by Helmut Lehmann. Philadelphia/Minneapolis: Muhlenberg Press/Fortress Press, 1957-86. Vols. 56-82, edited by Christopher Boyd Brown and Benjamin T. G. Mayes. St. Louis: Concordia Publishing House, 2009–.
- Lutheran Church–Missouri Synod Commission on Theology and Church Relations, *The Gender Identity Disorder or Gender Dysphoria in Christian Perspective*. St. Louis: Lutheran Church–Missouri Synod, 2014.

- Lutheran Church–Missouri Synod Commission on Theology and Church Relations, *Human Sexuality: A Theological Perspective*. St. Louis: Lutheran Church–Missouri Synod, 1981.
- MacIntyre, Alasdair. *After Virtue: A Study in Moral Theory*. 3rd ed. Notre Dame, IN: University of Notre Dame Press, 2007.
- Meilaender, Gilbert. *Bioethics: A Primer for Christians*. 4th ed. Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 2020.
- Meilaender, Gilbert. *Neither Beast nor God: The Dignity of the Human Person*. New York: Encounter Books, 2009.
- Middleton, J. Richard. *The Liberating Image: The Imago Dei in Genesis 1*. Grand Rapids, MI: Brazos Press, 2005.
- Nettles, Tom. “The Leaven of CRT and Intersectionality: A Critical Analysis of Resolution 9 – Part 3.” Founders Ministries, October 31, 2019. <https://founders.org/articles/the-leaven-of-crt-and-intersectionality-a-critical-analysis-of-resolution-9-part-3/>. Accessed March 25, 2026.
- Nestingen, James Arne. *Martin Luther: A Life*. Minneapolis: Augsburg Publishing House, 2003.
- Pieper, Francis. *Christian Dogmatics*. 4 vols. St. Louis: Concordia Publishing House, 1950–1957.
- Preuss, Eduard A. *The Justification of the Sinner Before God*. Introduction by Roland Ziegler. Fort Wayne, IN: Lutheran Legacy, 2011.
- Sasse, Hermann. *Here We Stand: Nature and Character of the Lutheran Faith*. Translated by Theodore G. Tappert. Minneapolis: Augsburg Publishing House, 1946.
- Schlink, Edmund. *Theology of the Lutheran Confessions*. Translated by Paul F. Koehneke and Herbert J. A. Bouman. Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1961.
- Shadle, Matthew. “Intersectional Analysis as a Theological Method: A Response to Hoon Choi.” *Catholic Moral Theology* (blog), May 9, 2023. <https://catholicmoraltheology.com/intersectional-analysis-as-a-theological-method-a-response-to-hoon-choi/>. Accessed March 25, 2026.
- Smith, Christian. *What Is a Person? Rethinking Humanity, Social Life, and the Moral Good from the Person Up*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2010.
- Taylor, Charles. “Modern Social Imaginaries.” *Public Culture* 14, no. 1 (2002): 91–124. <https://doi.org/10.1215/08992363-14-1-91>.
- Taylor, Charles. *Modern Social Imaginaries*. Durham, NC/London, UK: Duke University Press, 2004.
- Trueman, Carl R. *The Rise and Triumph of the Modern Self: Cultural Amnesia, Expressive Individualism, and the Road to Sexual Revolution*. Wheaton, IL: Crossway, 2020.
- Trueman, Carl R. *To Change All Worlds: Critical Theory from Marx to Marcuse*. Brentwood, TN: B&H Academic, 2024.

- Veith, Gene Edward, Jr. *God at Work: Your Christian Vocation in All of Life*. Wheaton, IL: Crossway, 2011.
- Veith, Gene Edward, Jr. *Postmodern Times: A Christian Guide to Contemporary Thought and Culture*. Wheaton, IL: Crossway, 1994.
- Wengert, Timothy J. *Martin Luther's Catechisms: Forming the Faith*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2009.
- Wingren, Gustaf. *Luther on Vocation*. Translated by Carl C. Rasmussen. Philadelphia: Muhlenberg Press, 1957.
- Yarhouse, Mark A. *Understanding Gender Dysphoria: Navigating Transgender Issues in a Changing Culture*. Downers Grove, IL: IVP Academic, 2015.



**A Serious Christian Journal of Life
and its Significance**

Annual Print Subscriptions!

***Verba Vitae* will make physical hard copy issues available beginning with the Spring 2026 issue.**

Annual subscriptions include the entire volume year, to be sent to the subscriber regardless of when the subscription is placed during that volume year.

- \$50 for 1-year subscription
- \$95 for 2-year subscription
- \$45/year continuous subscription
(3-year minimum: \$135)
- \$100/year institutional/library

Annual subscriptions do not auto-renew

Subscribe at
library.ilt.edu/verba-vitae/

Volumes 1 & 2 free online at
<https://verba-vitae.org>

Any questions or communication should be directed to
verba-vitae@ilt.edu



A Call for Papers

***Verba Vitae* is seeking essay submissions
for the following upcoming issues:**

Volume 3, No. 2 (Summer 2026):

“Christian Medical Ethics in a Secular Medical Environment”

Volume 3, No. 3 (Autumn 2026):

“Christianity and Transhumanism: Ethical Considerations”

Volume 3, No. 4 (Winter 2026):

“Artificial Intelligence: A Rigorous Examination”

Volume 4, No. 1 (Spring 2027):

“The Issue of Gender: Old and New Perspectives in Conflict”

Volume 4, No. 2 (Summer 2027):

“The Dawn of Life: Pre and Neonatal Life in Modern Society”

Volume 4, No. 3 (Autumn 2027):

“The Power of Language: Navigating Ethical Communication
in the Era of Newspeak”

Volume 4, No. 4 (Winter 2027):

“Facing the Final Frontier: Divine Perspectives and Social Narratives
on Mortality”

All essay submissions (on any life-related topic) should be made at:

<https://verba-vitae.org>

Submitting authors need to register with a *Verba Vitae* account

Please see the Submissions Guidelines for important information!

Any questions should be directed to:

Douglas V. Morton, Associate Editor

dmorton@ilt.edu
